Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050) **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 Email: <u>hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</u> <u>martinez.chris@dorsey.com</u> <u>armington.jeff@dorsey.com</u>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff, v.	COMPLAINT
VICTOR WAGNER, a Florida resident, FEDERAL FUNDING SERVICES, LLC, a Georgia limited liability company, NOTE FUNDING, INC., a Georgia corporation, and JOHN DOES NOS. 1-5,	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591) Civil No
Defendant.	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "<u>Receiver</u>" or "<u>Plaintiff</u>") of National Note of Utah, LC ("<u>National Note</u>"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "<u>NNU</u>"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("<u>Palmer</u>"), in the case styled as *Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al.*, Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "<u>SEC Civil Enforcement Case</u>"), hereby files this Complaint against Victor Wagner ("<u>Wagner</u>"), Federal Financial Services, LLC, Note Funding, Inc. and John Does Nos. 1-5 ("Defendant Does") (collectively, "Defendants") and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors.¹ Upon information and belief, Defendants received money from NNU, without giving any value for the transferred money, and has failed to repay that money. The Receiver seeks to recover the amount owed by the Defendants for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "<u>Receivership Order</u>"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . . "³

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Wagner is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Florida.

4. Upon information and belief, Federal Financial Services, LLC ("<u>Federal</u>") was a Georgia limited liability company that conducted business in Utah.

5. Upon information and belief, Note Funding, Inc. ("<u>Note Funding</u>") is a Georgia corporation that conducted business in Utah.

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Defendants have transferred monies or property received from NNU.

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

10. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.

11. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "<u>NNU</u>."

12. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "<u>Investor Account</u>").

13. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.

14. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

15. Upon information and belief, Wagner was the chief financial officer for NNU.

16. Wagner, through a company he controlled, was also an investor in NNU.

17. Wagner was an insider of NNU.

Amounts Transferred to Defendants

18. Wagner received \$309,355.14 from NNU (the "<u>Wagner Transfers</u>"). Included within the Wagner Transfers are payments made by NNU on Wagner's behalf on a loan between Wagner and a third-party.

19. Wagner was an insider of NNU.

20. Wagner has not repaid the Wagner Transfers to NNU.

21. Federal received \$123,925.00 from NNU (the "Federal Transfers").

22. On information and belief, at all relevant times, Federal was controlled, managed,

and/or owned by Wagner, an insider of NNU.

23. Federal has not repaid the Federal Transfers to NNU.

24. Note Funding received \$11,078.26 from NNU (the "Note Funding Transfers").

25. On information and belief, at all relevant times, Note Funding was controlled,

managed, and/or owned by Wagner, an insider of NNU.

26. Note Funding has not repaid the Note Funding Transfers to NNU.

27. On or about 2004, Wagner, through Note Funding, commenced investing with

NNU. A history of the investment is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

28. Wagner, through Note Funding, paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$50,000.00 on or about 2004 (the "<u>Principal Cash Investment</u>").

29. As set forth on Exhibit A, NNU transferred a total of \$59,384.00 in cash to

Wagner and/or Note Funding (the "Investment Transfers").

30. Of the Investment Transfers, \$9,385.00 is an amount that is over and above the Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>").

31. Collectively, the Investment Transfers, the False Profit Transfers, the Note Funding Transfers, the Federal Transfers, and the Wagner Transfers are the "<u>Transfers</u>".

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

32. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁴

33. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁵ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁶ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that

⁴ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

⁵ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

⁶ Id., Docket No. 9.

prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁷

34. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁸

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

35. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

36. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

37. NNU made the Transfers to Defendants in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

38. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

39. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Defendants incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.

40. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers paid to Defendants or, in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

41. Alternatively, to the extent that Wagner and/or Note Funding took the Investment Transfers in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Wagner and/or Note Funding, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

42. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

43. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

44. NNU made the Transfers to Defendants in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

45. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

46. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendants were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.

47. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.

48. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendants or, in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

49. Alternatively, to the extent that Wagner and/or Note Funding took the Investment Transfers in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Wagner and/or Note Funding, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

51. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

52. NNU made the Transfers to Defendants in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme

53. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendants was incurred.

54. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendants was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.

55. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.

56. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendants or, in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

57. Alternatively, to the extent that Wagner and/or Note Funding took the Investment Transfers in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Wagner and/or Note Funding, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(2) and 25-6-8)

58. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

59. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

60. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

61. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made.

62. On information and belief, Defendants were insiders of NNU.

63. The Transfers were made to the Defendants for an antecedent debt.

64. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made and, on information and

belief, the Defendants had reasonable cause to believe that NNU was insolvent.

65. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(2) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers made to the Defendants or, in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

66. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

67. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

68. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.

69. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

70. An injustice would result if Defendants were allowed to keep the Transfers.

71. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers paid by NNU to Defendants.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

72. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

73. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

74. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendants.

75. The Defendants knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

76. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants

and would be inequitable.

77. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by

Defendants' unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

78. Defendants must disgorge the amount of the Transfers.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Disgorgement)

79. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

80. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

81. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendants.

82. Defendants have no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.

83. The Transfers should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:
A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the: (1) Wagner Transfers in the total amount of \$309,355.14; (2) Federal Transfers in the total amount of \$123,925.00; (3) Note Funding Transfers in the total amount of \$11,078.26; and (4) Investment Transfers in the total amount of \$59,384.00, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers in the total amount of \$9,384.00.

B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the: (1) Wagner Transfers in the total amount of \$309,355.14; (2) Federal Transfers in the total amount of \$123,925.00; (3) Note Funding Transfers in the total amount of \$11,078.26; and (4) Investment Transfers in the total amount of \$59,384.00, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers in the total amount of \$9,384.00.

C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the: (1) Wagner Transfers in the total amount of \$309,355.14; (2) Federal Transfers in the total amount of \$123,925.00; (3) Note Funding Transfers in the total amount of \$11,078.26; and (4) Investment Transfers in the total amount of \$59,384.00, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers in the total amount of \$9,384.00.

D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's

Case 2:13-cv-00557-RJS Document 2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 12 of 13

recovery of the value of the: (1) Wagner Transfers in the total amount of \$309,355.14; (2) Federal Transfers in the total amount of \$123,925.00; (3) Note Funding Transfers in the total amount of \$11,078.26; and (4) Investment Transfers in the total amount of \$59,384.00.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendants to disgorge the: (1) Wagner Transfers in the total amount of \$309,355.14; (2) Federal Transfers in the total amount of \$123,925.00; (3) Note Funding Transfers in the total amount of \$11,078.26; and (4) Investment Transfers in the total amount of \$59,384.00, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers in the total amount of \$9,384.00.

G. Pursuant to the Receiver's Seventh Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring Defendants to disgorge the: (1) Wagner Transfers in the total amount of \$309,355.14; (2) Federal Transfers in the total amount of \$123,925.00; (3) Note Funding Transfers in the total amount of \$11,078.26; and (4) Investment Transfers in the total amount of \$59,384.00, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers in the total amount of \$9,384.00.

H. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

- /
- ,
- /

I. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 24th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

<u>/s/ Peggy Hunt</u> Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington Attorneys for Receiver

Case 2:13-cv-00557-RJS Document 2-1 Filed 06/24/13 Page 1 of 2

Investment Pay History

Reference

NF04-0115-PY NNU Printed 02/12/13 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Additional information

Suspense Acct 2710

Regular p	mt:	750.00	Int rate:	18.000 18.000	Acct closed	: 02/01/05	Orig bal:		50000.00
Escrow pmt:		0.00	Int calc:	Fixed			Orig date:		
Service fee: Total pmt: Ord/Day/LFee: 1/15		0.00	Pmt type: 1099	T USRule 365 M			Maturity:		01/15/04 02/01/05 50750.00
		750.00	NSF fee:	0.00			Balloon:		
			Reminder:	02/01/05			Danoon.		
Total if la		765.00	MATURITY DATE						
Pay Date	Due/Typ	e Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	nt/Balan Late	svc
01/15/04		0.00) 0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/04	03/01/04	R 1134.25	5 0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00		
			11756	384.25 AI	0.00	00000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/04		P 0.00	0.00	384.25	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
			11756		0.00	30000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/04	04/01/04	R 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
			11859		0.00	00000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/04	05/01/04	R 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
			11981			00000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/04	06/01/04	R 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
			12087		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/04	07/01/04	R 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			12215				0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/04	08/01/04	R 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
			12345				0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/04	09/01/04	R 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
			12484			00000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/04	10/01/04	R 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
		· · · ·	12631			00000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/04	11/01/04	र 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
		A	12789		0.00	30000,00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/04	12/01/04 H	R 750.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	
1 A.			12946		0.00	30000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
nnual To	al:	7884.25	0.00	7884.25	0.00				
scrow Pa		,	0.00	1004.25	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
1/01/05	01/01/05 F	R 750.00	0.00	770.00		i	0.00		
1/01/05	01101105 F	150.00	0.00 13117	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2/01/05	02/01/05 F	750.00							
2/01/05	U2/01/05 F	· /50.00	0.00 13286	750.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2/01/05		50000.00	50000.00	0.00		· · · ·			
210 1100		50000.00	2976	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
nnual Tot	al:	51500.00	50000.00	1500.00	0.00				· · ·
scrow Pal		¥1000.00	00000.00	1500.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
SULUW F di	G OUL	·····					0.00		

Case 2:13-cv-00557-RJS Document 2-1 Filed 06/24/13 Page 2 of 2

Investment Pay History

Reference			
NF04-0115-PY NN	10 ·		Page 2
Printed 02/12/13	Period of 01/01/9	10 - 1	12/31/12

Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Paym Escrow	ent/Balance - Late	Svc
Report Tot	al:	59384.25	50000.00	9384.25	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:			÷.			0.00		0.00
Balances:		s F				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
				1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·

Taxable: 9384.25

Case 2:13-cv-00557-RJS Document 2-2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS R. Wayne Klein, as Receiver VICTOR WAGNER, a Florida resident, FEDERAL FUNDING SERVICES, LLC, a Georgia limited liability company, NOTE FUNDING, INC., a Georgia corporation, and JOHN DOES NOS, 1-5. (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff County of Residence of First Listed Defendant State of Florida Salt Lake (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #1000, SLC, UT 84101; 801-933-7360 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) □ 1 U.S. Government ☑ 3 Federal Question **PTF** DEF PTF DEF Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State Q 1 I Incorporated or Principal Place 04 of Business In This State 2 U.S. Government D 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State Incorporated and Principal Place 0 5 05 Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State **D** 3 Citizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation 06 06 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT FORFEITURE/PENAL/TY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure PERSONAL INJURY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act 120 Marine 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 □ 423 Withdrawal 400 State Reapportionment 🗇 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 690 Other 28 USC 157 🗇 410 Antitrust 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 367 Health Care/ . Liability 430 Banks and Banking □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment J 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmacentical PROPERTY RIGHTS 450 Commerce σ & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander Personal Injury 820 Copyrights 460 Deportation 330 Federal Employers' I 151 Medicare Act Product Liability 1 830 Patent 470 Racketeer Influenced and 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 840 Trademark Corrupt Organizations Student Loans 🗖 340 Marine Injury Product d 480 Consumer Credit (Excludes Veterans) D 345 Marine Product Liability LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY 490 Cable/Sat TV 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 710 Fair Labor Standards **861 HIA (1395ff)** Ø 850 Securities/Commodities/ of Veteran's Benefits □ 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud D 862 Black Lung (923) Act Exchange 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Π. 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending 720 Labor/Management □ 890 Other Statutory Actions 190 Other Contract 0 Product Liability 380 Other Personal Relations D 864 SSID Title XVI 891 Agricultural Acts 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage 🗇 740 Railway Labor Act 365 RSI (405(g)) 893 Environmental Matters 196 Franchise Injury □ 385 Property Damage 751 Family and Medical □ 895 Freedom of Information 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability Leave Act Act Medical Malpractice 790 Other Labor Litigation B 896 Arbitration REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS □ 791 Employee Retirement FEDERAL TAX SUITS D 899 Administrative Procedure 210 Land Condemnation □ 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: Income Security Act 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Act/Review or Appeal of 220 Foreclosure 🛛 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee or Defendant) Agency Decision 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 442 Employment □ 510 Motions to Vacate 🗇 871 IRS—Third Party 950 Constitutionality of 🗇 240 Torts to Land J 443 Housing/ Sentence 26 USC 7609 State Statutes D 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 530 General 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty **IMMIGRATION** Employment Other: 462 Naturalization Application 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Π 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration Other 550 Civil Rights Actions □ 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) □ 2 Removed from 🕱 1 Original 03 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from Multidistrict Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Litigation (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity) 28 U.S.C. § 754 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Recovery of the value of transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **COMPLAINT:** 309,355.14 JURY DEMAND: 🗇 Yes 🛛 No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE Jenkins DOCKET NUMBER 2:12-cv-00591 DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 124 12013 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY **RECEIPT #** AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE