Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050) **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 Email: <u>hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</u> <u>martinez.chris@dorsey.com</u> <u>armington.jeff@dorsey.com</u>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v. AT&T UNIVERSAL CARD SERVICES CORP., UNIVERSAL CARD SERVICES, CORP. and/or CITIBANK UNIVERSAL CARD SERVICES,	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591) Civil No
Defendants.	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of

National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless

otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as

"<u>NNU</u>"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("<u>Palmer</u>"), in the case styled as Securities and

Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah)

(Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against AT&T

Universal Card Services Corp., Universal Card Services Corp., and/or Citibank Universal Card Services (collectively, "<u>Defendant</u>" or "<u>Defendants</u>"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors.¹ Upon information and belief, Defendant is an entity that received funds from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed below.

PARTIES

2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "<u>Receivership Order</u>"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and the assets of Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . . "³

3. Upon information and belief, Defendants are entities that conduct business in the State of Utah.

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 5. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

7. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate above market rates.

8. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "<u>NNU</u>."

9. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU.

10. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

11. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties

Case 2:13-cv-00590-DBP Document 2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 4 of 10

against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all illgotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁴

12. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁵ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁶ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁷

13. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁸

The Fraudulent Transfers

14. Upon information and belief, prior to the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, funds from NNU's comingled accounts in at least the amount of \$62,853.24 were transferred to Defendant relating to outstanding balances on credit card(s) issued by Defendant (all transfers to Defendant, including additional transfers that may be found through discovery or otherwise, are referred herein as the "<u>Transfers</u>"). The Transfers to the Defendant from January 1, 2007 forward are itemized in the schedule attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>, which schedule is

⁴ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

⁵ Id., Docket No. 7.

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

Case 2:13-cv-00590-DBP Document 2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 5 of 10

incorporated herein by reference. To the extent additional transfers are found through discovery or otherwise, the Receiver will seek recovery of those transfers as well.

15. Upon information and belief, funds were also transferred to insiders of NNU, who used the funds to make payments to Defendant related to credit cards issued to NNU and/or insiders. To the extent that these transfers exit, they are included in the "<u>Transfers</u>" definition set forth above, and this Complaint may be amended to seek avoidance of such Transfers at a later date after further information is obtained.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

16. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

- 17. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
- 18. The Transfers constitute an interest of NNU in property.
- 19. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 20. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 21. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Defendant were incurred with

actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.

22. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

23. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

24. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

25. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

26. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

27. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.

28. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.

29. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

30. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

31. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

32. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

33. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred.

Case 2:13-cv-00590-DBP Document 2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 7 of 10

34. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.

35. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligations were incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligations incurred.

36. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

37. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

38. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

39. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

40. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

41. An injustice would result if Defendant was allowed to keep the Transfers.

42. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for

the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendant.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

43. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

Case 2:13-cv-00590-DBP Document 2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 8 of 10

44. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

45. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendant.

46. Upon information and belief, the Defendant knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

47. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

48. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendant's unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

49. Defendant must disgorge the amount of the Transfers for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

51. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

52. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendant.

53. Defendant has no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.

54. All Transfers made to Defendant should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendant as follows:

A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers.

B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers.

C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers.

D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers.

G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 24th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey Armington Attorneys for Receiver

Accoun	Account Date Type	#	Originator / Beneficiary	Orig / Bnf Orig / Account # Bank	Orig / Bnf Orig / Bnf Account # Bank	Orig / Bnf Bank #	Memo / Ref	Deposits	Deposits Withdrawals	Total Deposits	Total Total Deposits Withdrawals	Net	Category	Notes
AT&T U	AT&T Universal Card Services Corp									\$2.00	\$62,853.24	4 (\$62,851.24)	4)	_
1404	1/23/2007 Check	12883	Universal Card						\$70.36					
			Services Corp											
1404	2/27/2007 Check	12951	Universal Card						\$217.26					-
1404	3/27/2007 Check	13021	I I niversal Card						\$291 5 <u>4</u>					
		1 2001	Services Corp						10.1014					
1404	5/8/2007 Check	13121	Universal Card						\$283.47					
			Services Corp					-	ľ					
1404	6/8/2007 Check	13197	Universal Card	en andere an					\$275.73					
			Services Corp											
1404	6/25/2007 Check	.13229	Universal Card						\$282.62					
	•		Services Corp									-0-		
1404	7/31/2007 Check	13278	Universal Card						\$41.50					
			Services Corp											
1404	8/21/2007 Check	13328	Universal Card						\$397.75					
			Services Corp											
1404	9/25/2007 Check	13373	Universal Card						\$198.50					
			Services Corp											
1404	10/29/2007 Check	13439	Universal Card						\$365.50					
			Services Corp		-1	and an and an	-	-		- 4				
1404	12/3/2007 Check	13481	Universal Card						\$339.10			1 		
1004	1/11/2000 Chack	12671	Inhinered Card		Chibank	771070217	acrt 5/01 1202		6708 FQ					
14C4	1/ T+/ 5000 CHECK	TICCT	Services Corp		CHUNGHIN	7700/07/7	7861 0281		cr:0c7¢					-
1404	1/25/2008 Check	13593	Universal Card		Citibank	122401710	Acct 5491 1303		\$993.17					
	and a set of a set of the set of		Services Corp-		and the second se		7861 0281	1						-
3339	4/9/2008 Split Deposit	t 94200827	AT&T	971782	JP Morgan Chase	31100283		\$2.00						
1404	5/5/2008 Check	13769	Universal Card		Citibank	122401710	Acct 5491 1303		\$367.44					
			Services Corp	-			7861 0281							-
1404	6/9/2008 Check	13834	Universal Card		Citibank	122401710	acct 5491 1303		\$231.24					
			Services Corp	andria and an analysis and an analysis of the second second second second second second second second second se			7861 0281					All the form of the former of		
1404	6/30/2008 Check	13882	Universal Card		Citibank	122401710	acct 5491 1303		\$434.31					
			services corp				T870 T98/			1				
1404	1/28/2008 Check	9765T	Convisor Com		LITIDANK	122401/10	2001 2491 1505		05.441.5U					
		10001			Total Databa	012100001	A + + + 101 + 100		60 010 24					
1404	\$/21/2008 CRECK	13961	Services Corp		Citi bank	NT/T0477'	ACCI 3491-1303-7861-0281		1/.700,64					
1404	9/25/2008 Check	14037	Universal Card		and the second se		acct 5491 1303		\$465.36					

Case 2:13-cv-00590-DBP Document 2-1 Filed 06/24/13 Page 1 of 3

1404	10/27/2008 Check	14075	iUniversal Card Services Corp	Citibank	122401710	Acct 5491 1303 7861 0781	\$3,443.30	
1404	11/24/2008 Check	14124	Universal Card	Citibank	122401710	Acct 5491 1303	\$155.89	
			Services Corp			7861 0281		
1404	12/29/2008 Check	14180	Universal Card Services Corp	Citibank	122401710	Acct 5491 1303 7861 0281	\$743.97	
1404	2/3/2009 Check	14239	Universal Card Services Corp				\$6,546.64	
1404		14298	Universal Card Servićes Corp				\$782.58	
	3/26/2009 Check		Universal Card				\$2,781.49	
1404	4/21/2009 Check	14360	Universal Card Services Corp				\$1,832,29	
1404	5/26/2009 Check	14374	Universal Card Services Corp				\$131,00	
1404	7/24/2009 Check	14491	Universal Card Services Corp				\$393.00	
1404	8/25/2009 Check	14534	Universal Card Services Corp			Acct 5491 1393 5295 9234	\$200.00	
1404	9/21/2009 Check	14570	Universal Card Services Corp				\$584.20	
1404	11/2/2009 Check	14600	Universal Card Services Corp		(\$692.40	
1404	11/23/2009 Check	14651	Universal Card Services Corp.				\$810.03	
	12/24/2009 Check	14709	Uni versal Card Iservices Corp.				\$561.16	
	2/9/2010 Check	14739	Universal Card Services Corp	1			\$836.26	
	2/22/2010 Check	14780	Universal Card Services Corp				\$134,55	
	3/23/2010 Check	14812	Universal Card Services Corp				\$1,223.32	
1530			AT&T Universal	-			\$437.53	
	5/28/2010 Wire Out		AT&T Universal				\$220.38	
1530	8/5/2010/Wire Out	-	AT&T Universal				\$721 4A \$721 4A	
1530	3/201		AT&T Universal				\$779.44	
1530	10/5/2010 Wire Out		AT&T Universal				\$436.78	
	11/18/2010 Wire Out		AT&T Universal			4	\$4,440.76	
1530	1/7/2011 Wire Out		AT&T Universal	-			\$5,426.84	
1530		1125	Universal Card Services Corp	Citibank	122401710	Acct 5491 1393 5295 9234	\$704.11	
1530	4/5/2011 Wire Out		AT&T Universal				\$457.19	

Case 2:13-cv-00590-DBP Document 2-1 Filed 06/24/13 Page 2 of 3

3907	5/5/2011 Electronic VVithdrawal		AT&T Universal				\$1,031.33	
1530	5/26/2011 Wire Out		AT&T Universal	•			\$634.91	
1530	7/1/2011 Wire Out		AT&T Universal				\$1,319.14	
3907	8/9/2011 Electronic Withdrawal		AT&T Universal	1			\$1,636.47	
3907	9/8/2011 Electronic Withdrawal		AT&T Universal				\$1,285.71	
1530	11/3/2011 Wire Outs		AT&T Universal				\$2,462.97	
1530	3/7/2011 Check	307	Citicards	CitiBank	122401710	1	\$585.01	
3907	10/12/2011 Check Paid	100711	Citicards	Citibank	122401710	OH9128302284104	\$2,354.52	
3907	12/9/2011 Check Paid	6666	Citicards	Citibank	122401710	5491139352959230	\$1,427.44	
3907	1/13/2012 Check Paid	106	Citicards	Citibank	122401710	OH9200903471502	\$100.00	
1530	2/6/2012 Wire Outs		Citicards				\$600.00	
1530	3/9/2012		Citicards				\$400.00	
1530	3/26/2012 Check	90323	Citicards	CitiBank	122401710	5.49114E+15	\$733.12	
3907	5/9/2012 Check Paid	508	Citicards	Citibank	122401710	OH9213007376605	\$1,300.00	
3907	6/5/2012 Check Paid	605	Citicards	Citibank	122401710	5491139352959234 OH9215801726105	\$800.00	

Case 2:13-cv-00590-DBP Document 2-2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

purpose of initiating the civil do I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	CARD SERVICES COF	
R. Wayne Klein, as Rece	iver		SERVICES, CORF	P. and/or CITIBANK UNI	VERSAL CARD
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff Sal	t Lake	County of Residence		Salt Lake
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	ES)	NOTE - NU AND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TI	
			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #1		01-933-7360	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij
□ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only) P	rf def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government No	t a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 🗇 1 Incorporated or Prior of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and F of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗂 3 Foreign Nation	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only, TOR		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	G 625 Drug Related Seizure	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal	 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment
 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 	□ 310 Airplane □ □ 315 Airplane Product	J 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust
□ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	□ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		□ 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		D 830 Patent	470 Racketeer Influenced and
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability C	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	and the second se	840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV
□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY		□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits		J 370 Other Fraud J 371 Truth in Lending	Act 720 Labor/Management	□ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	3890 Other Statutory Actions
X 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	Relations	□ 864 SSID Title XVI	B91 Agricultural Acts
 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical 	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
1) The Matchine	🗇 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	Leave Act		Act
	Medical Malpractice	ONKONEN EDUTIONO	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAY CHITC	 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of
□ 220 Foreclosure		J 463 Alien Detainee	meonie Security Net	or Defendant)	Agency Decision
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		510 Motions to Vacate		STI IRS-Third Party	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	Accommodations	Sentence 530 General		26 USC 7609	State Statutes
□ 290 All Other Real Property	🛛 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	3 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION		
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - [Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration 	1	
		5 550 Civil Rights	Actions		
		555 Prison Condition			
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)				
		emanded from d 4 ppellate Court	Reinstated or 5 Transfi Reopened <i>Specify</i>	er District Litigation	
	28 U.S.C. \$ 754	ate under which you are f	iling (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	tutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of cau	se: lue of transfers from	Defendant for the benefi	t of the receivership esta	ite.
VII. REQUESTED IN		S A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint.
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23,		62,853.24	JURY DEMAND:	Yes X No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY		UDGE Jenkins	1 de 1 de 1	DOCKET NUMBER 2:	12-cv-00591
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOP	NEY OF RECORD		
G/24/13 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	and the second	Na	a. L.	a second s	
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG JU	DGE