Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050) **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 Email: <u>hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</u> <u>martinez.chris@dorsey.com</u> <u>armington.jeff@dorsey.com</u>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,

106th SOUTHTOWNE HOTEL

v.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591)

Civil No. _____

MANAGEMENT, L.C. f/k/a 106th SOUTHTOWNE HOTEL, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, and JOHN DOES NOS. 1-5,

Defendants.

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of

National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless

otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as

"<u>NNU</u>"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("<u>Palmer</u>"), in the case styled as Securities and

Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah)

(Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against 106th

Case 2:13-cv-00578-DB Document 2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 2 of 11

Southtowne Hotel Management, L.C. f/k/a 106th Southtowne Hotel, LLC ("<u>Southtowne</u>") and John Does Nos. 1-5 ("<u>Defendant Does</u>") (collectively, "<u>Defendants</u>") and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors.¹ Upon information and belief, Southtowne obtained funds from NNU, and has not returned those funds to NNU. The Receiver seeks to recover those funds for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "<u>Receivership Order</u>"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . ."³

3. Upon information and belief, Southtowne is a Utah limited liability company with its principal place of business in Utah.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who received loan proceeds from NNU, or are persons to whom Southtowne has transferred monies

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

received from NNU.

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

8. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.

9. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "<u>NNU</u>."

10. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "<u>Investor Account</u>").

11. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.

12. NNU paid monies to persons for bringing investors to NNU.

13. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

The Amounts Transferred to Southtowne

14. In 2006, NNU transferred \$103,061.20 to Southtowne (the "<u>Transfers</u>").

15. On information and belief, NNU received nothing in return for the Transfers. Instead, the Transfers were made by NNU on behalf of a third-party (the "<u>Third-Party</u>") to service an obligation or debt owed by that third-party.

16. Southtowne has not repaid the Transfers to NNU.

17. Southtowne issued a promissory note to the Third-Party (the "<u>Note</u>"). Pursuant to the Note, Southtowne agreed to repay the Transfers, plus an additional \$10,000.00, with interest.

18. The Third-Party assigned the Note to NNU (the "<u>Assignment</u>").

19. Southtowne made payments to NNU pursuant to the Note and the Assignment totaling \$36,035.22. However, Southtowne has not made any payments since 2009 and is in default under the Note. The balance, with interest, owing on the Note as of June 29, 2009 is \$93,691.20. On information and belief, the balance, within interest, owing on the Note currently equals at least \$130,174.40 (the "<u>Note Balance</u>").

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

20. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties

Case 2:13-cv-00578-DB Document 2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 5 of 11

against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all illgotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁴

21. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁵ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁶ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁷

22. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁸

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract)

23. The Receiver realleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

24. On information and belief, the Note is a legally binding contract, pursuant to which Southtowne agreed to repay the amount that NNU loaned to Southtowne.

⁴ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

⁵ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

25. On information and belief, the Note was validly assigned to NNU.

26. Southtowne has breached the Note by failing to repay the Transfers, plus interest.

27. Southtowne's breach of the contract has damaged NNU. Specifically,

Southtowne has failed to repay the Loan Balance it owes to NNU. In total, with interest and principal, Southtowne owes at least \$130,174.40 to NNU.

28. NNU is entitled to a judgment in the amount of at least \$130,174.40 caused by

Southtowne's breach of contract, plus applicable pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

29. The Receiver realleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

30. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi

scheme.

31. NNU made the Transfers to Southtowne in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

32. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

33. The Transfers were paid and any obligations to Southtowne incurred with actual

intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.

34. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers paid to Southtowne or, in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

35. The Receiver realleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding

Case 2:13-cv-00578-DB Document 2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 7 of 11

allegations as if set forth completely herein.

36. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

37. NNU paid the Transfers to Southtowne in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

38. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

39. The Transfers were paid or the obligations to Southtowne were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.

40. At the time the Transfers were paid, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.

41. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers paid to Southtowne or, in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

42. The Receiver realleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

43. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

44. NNU paid the Transfers to Southtowne in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

45. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the

obligation to Southtowne was incurred.

46. The Transfers were paid or the obligation to Southtowne was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligation.

47. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were paid or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.

48. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Southtowne or, in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

49. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

50. The Transfers paid were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

51. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.

52. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

53. An injustice would result if Defendants were allowed to keep the Transfers.

54. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers paid by NNU to Defendants.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

55. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

56. The Transfers were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

57. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendants.

58. The Defendants knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

59. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants

and would be inequitable.

60. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by

Defendants' unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

61. Defendants must disgorge the amount of the Transfers.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Disgorgement)

62. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

63. The Transfers were paid as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

64. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendants.

65. Defendants have no claim to the Transfers paid by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.

66. The Transfers should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff in the total amount of the Unpaid Balance, which is at least \$130,174.40.

B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$103,061.20.

C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$103,061.20.

D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$103,061.20.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$103,061.20.

G. Pursuant to the Receiver's Seventh Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$103,061.20.

H. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

I. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 24^{th} day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington Attorneys for Receiver

Payment History

Reference

06-1018SH-RC Printed: 06/11/13 Period: 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Additional information

Regular pmt: Escrow pmt : Service fee: Total pmt: Ord/Day/LFee: 1/15		1385.97 0.00 0.00 1385.97 69.30	Int rate: Int calc: Pmt type: NSF fee: Reminder:	Int calc: Fixed Pmt type: USRule 365 M NSF fee: 25.00			Rem pmts: 29 Per diem; 21.18 Next due: 07/01/09 Arrears: 42227.83	Orig bal: Orig date: Maturity:		113000.00 11/01/06 11/01/11	
Total if la			1455.27	iveniniuer.							
			18			19	.		D:	yment/Bala	
Pay Date	Due/Ty)e	Amount	Applied/Ref	Interest	BI	rincipal	Balance	Escrow	Late	Svc
11/01/06			0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	113000.00	0.00	0.00	
12/01/06	12/01/06	, p	1385.97	1385.97	776.88	·	609.09		0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/00	12/01/00		1000.97	TO JIM	//0.00		009.09	112390.91	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual To	otal:	••••••	1385.97		776.88	3177	609.09	······	0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow P	aid Out:					· · ·	- 17		0.00	0.00	0.00
01/01/07	01/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 TO JIM	772.69		613.28	111777.63	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/07	02/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 TO JIM	768.47		617.50	111160.13	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/07	03/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 TO JIM	764.23		621.74	110538.39	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/07	04/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 TO JIM	759.95		626.02	109912.37	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/07	05/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 TO JIM	755.65		630.32	109282.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/21/07	06/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 15456	751.31		565.36	108716.69	0.00	69.30	0.00
07/1 6/07	07/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 15464	747.43		638.54	108078.15	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/22/07	08/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 15472	743.04		573.63	107504.52	0.00	69.30	0.00
09/20/07	09/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 15477	739.09		577.58	106926.94	0.00	69.30	0.00
10/17/07	10/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 15483	735.12		581.55	106345.39	0.00	69.30	0.00
11/20/07	11/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 15491	731.12	n ye.	585.55	105759.84	0.00	69.30	0.00
12/20/07	12/01/07	R	1385.97	1385.97 15498	727.10		589.57	105170.27	0.00	69.30	0.00
Annual To	tai:		16631.64		8995.20	7:	220.64		0.00	415.80	0.00
Escrow Pa	aid Out:								0.00		0.00
01/18/08	01/01/08	R	1385.97	1385.97 15504	723.05		593.62	104576.65	0.00	69.30	0.00

Case 2:13-cv-00578-DB Document 2-1 Filed 06/24/13 Page 2 of 3

Payment History

Reference

 06-1018SH-RC
 Page 2

 Printed: 06/11/13
 Period: 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	Applied/Def	Interest	Duimeleel	Deleve	Pay	ment/Balance	
		Amount		Interest	Principal	Balance	Escrow	Late	Sv
02/22/08	02/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15516	718.96	597.71	103978.94	0.00	69.30	0.0
03/24/08	03/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15520	714.86	601.81	103377.13	0.00	69.30	0.0
04/23/08	04/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15526	710.72	605.95	102771.18	0.00	69.30	0.0
05/23/08	05/01/08 R	1 385.97	1385.97 15534	706.55	610.12	102161.06	0.00	69.30	0.0
06/20/08	06/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15539	702.36	614.31	101546.75	0.00	69.30	0.0
07/29/08	07/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15546	698.13	618. 5 4	100928.21	0.00	69.30	0.0
08/19/08	08/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15551	693.88	622.79	100305.42,	0.00	69.30	0.0
09/17/08	09/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15556	689.60	627.07	99678.35	0.00	69.30	0.00
09/17/08	F	-1385.97	-1385.97 15556	-689.60	-627.07	100305.42	0.00	-69.30	0.00
09/17/08	S	-25.00	-25.00 NSF fee	0.00	0.00	100305.42	0.00	0.00	-25.00 25.00
10/11/08	r	1385.97	1385.97 15559	689.60	627.07	99678.35	0.00	69.30	0.00 25.00
10/11/08	09/01/08 R	1385.97	69.30 15560	0.00	69.30	99609.05	0.00	0.00	0.00 25.00
10/11/08	10/01/08 R	0.00	1316.67 15560	684.81	631.86	98977.19	0.00	0.00	0.00 25.00
	11/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15565	680.47	636.20	98340.99	0.00	69.30	0.00 25.00
12/16/08	12/01/08 R	1385.97	1385.97 15571	676.09	709.88	97631.11	0.00	0.00	0.00 25.00
Annual Tot Escrow Pa		16631.64		8399.48	7539.16	· · ·	0.00 0.00	693.00	0.00
01/24/09	01/01/09 R	1385.97	1385.97 15575	671.21	645.46	96985.65	0.00	69.30	0.00 25.00
02/24/09	02/01/09 R	1385.97	1385.97 15579	666.78	649.89	96335.76	0.00	69.30	0.00 25.00
	03/01/09 R	1385.97	1385.97 15584	662.31	654.36	95681.40	0.00	69.30	0.00
	04/01/09 R	1385.97	1385.97 15590	657.81	658.86	95022.54	0.00	69.30	0.00 25. 00
	05/01/09 R	1385.97	1385.97 15595	653.28	663.39	94359.15	0.00	69.30	0.00 25.00
6/29/09	06/01/09 R	1385.97	1385.97 15600	648.72	667.95	93691.20	0.00	69.30	0.00 25.00
innual Toti iscrow Pai		8315.82		3960.11	3939.91		0.00 0.00	415.80	0.00

. 1.

Case 2:13-cv-00578-DB Document 2-1 Filed 06/24/13 Page 3 of 3

Payment History

	Reference	- · · ·	
	06-1018SH-I	RC	Page 3
• •	Printed: 06/11/13	Period: 01/01/90 -	12/31/12

Pay Date Due/Type	Amount	Applied/Ref	Interest	Principal	Balance	Pay Escrow	ment/Balance	
				-18.51		Laciow	Late	Svc
Report Total:	42965.07		22131.67	19308.80		0.00	1524.60	0.00
Escrow Paid Out:						0.00		0.00
Balances:					93691.20	0.00	0.00	25.00

Payment types: R: Regular, r: Part pmt; P: Principal; L: Late; S: Service; E, T, J: Escrow, Tax, Ins; F: Returned chk or reversal; J: Adjustment; AI: Accrued Interest Financial counseling is available at www.HUD.gov. Choose Resources, then HUD Approved Housing Counseling Agencies. Note: Next payment is due. Update account before issuing payoff figures.

e.,

Case 2:13-cv-00578-DB Document 2-2 Filed 06/24/13 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) CIVIL COVER SHEET The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS R. Wayne Klein, as Receiver 106th SOUTHTOWNE HOTEL MANAGEMENT, L.C. f/k/a 106th SOUTHTOWNE HOTEL, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, and JOHN DOES NOS. 1-5, (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Salt Lake County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Salt Lake (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #1000, SLC, UT 84101; 801-933-7360 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) D 1 U.S. Government 🛛 3 Federal Question DEF PTF DEF PTF Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State **D** 1 I Incorporated or Principal Place **D** 4 04 of Business In This State 2 U.S. Government □ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State Incorporated and Principal Place 02 0 5 Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State Citizen or Subject of a D 3 3 Foreign Nation **D** 6 06 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT FORFEITURE/PENALTY TORT BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES D 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act D 120 Marine 400 State Reapportionment
 410 Antitrust 310 Airplane D 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 690 Other 28 USC 157 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 🗇 367 Health Care/ 430 Banks and Banking □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 🗇 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical PROPERTY RIGHTS 450 Commerce σ & Enforcement of Judgment Slander 820 Copyrights Personal Injury 460 Deportation 330 Federal Employers' □ 151 Medicare Act □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Product Liability 330 Patent □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 840 Trademark Corrupt Organizations Student Loans 🗇 340 Marine Injury Product □ 480 Consumer Credit (Excludes Veterans) 345 Marine Product SOCIAL SECURITY Liability LABOR 490 Cable/Sat TV 153 Recovery of Overpayment PERSONAL PROPERTY Liability 710 Fair Labor Standards **861 HIA (1395ff)** 850 Securities/Commodities/ of Veteran's Benefits □ 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud Act 862 Black Lung (923) Exchange 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle D 371 Truth in Lending 720 Labor/Management D 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ٥ 890 Other Statutory Actions X 190 Other Contract Product Liability □ 380 Other Personal Relations B91 Agricultural Acts
 893 Environmental Matters 🗇 864 SSID Title XVI 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal □ 740 Railway Labor Act Property Damage 🗇 865 RSI (405(g)) 196 Franchise Injury 385 Property Damage 751 Family and Medical 895 Freedom of Information C 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability Leave Act Act Medical Malpractice 790 Other Labor Litigation 896 Arbitration REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION □ 791 Employee Retirement FEDERAL TAX SUITS 899 Administrative Procedure 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: Act/Review or Appeal of Income Security Act 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 🗇 220 Foreclosure 🗇 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee or Defendant) Agency Decision 🗇 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 1 442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate 371 IRS-Third Party □ 950 Constitutionality of D 240 Torts to Land 1 443 Housing/ Sentence 26 USC 7609 State Statutes 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 530 General 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty IMMIGRATION Employment Other: 462 Naturalization Application J 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Π. 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration Other □ 550 Civil Rights Actions 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 🕱 1 Original □ 2 Removed from Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from **D** 6 Multidistrict Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Litigation (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. § 754 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Recovery of the value of transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: п **DEMAND \$** UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **COMPLAINT:** 130.061.20 JURY DEMAND: 🛛 Yes 🗖 No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY JUDGE Jenkins DOCKET NUMBER 2:12-cv-00591 DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 6/24/2013 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

RECEIPT #	AMOUNT