Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050)

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685

Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373

Email: hunt.peggy@dorsey.com

martinez.chris@dorsey.com armington.jeff@dorsey.com

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
V. LAWRENCE LLOYD, a Utah resident, and JOHN DOES 1-5,	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591) Civil No
Defendants	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "NNU"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("Palmer"), in the case styled as Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against Lawrence Lloyd and John Does 1-5 ("Defendant Does") (collectively, "Defendants"), and states, alleges

and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors. Upon information and belief, Defendants received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendants for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

- 2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "Receivership Order"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . ."³
- 3. Upon information and belief, Lawrence Lloyd ("<u>Lloyd</u>") is a resident of or is domiciled in the State of Utah.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Lloyd has transferred monies or property received from NNU.

4815-9401-8836\1

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

- 8. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.
- 9. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "NNU."
- 10. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "Investor Account").
- 11. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.
 - 12. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

Lloyd's Investment and the Transfers

13. On or about 2006, Lloyd commenced investing with NNU. Histories of Lloyd's

investments are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 14. Lloyd paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$135,000.00 on or about 2006 through 2007 (the "Principal Cash Investment").
- 15. As set forth on Exhibit A, NNU transferred a total of \$145,921.70 in cash to Lloyd (the "Total Transfers").
- 16. Of the Total Transfers, \$10,921.70 is an amount that is over and above Lloyd's Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "Transfers").

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

- 17. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵
- 18. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the

4815-9401-8836\1

⁴ See Exh. A.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

19. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

- 20. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 21. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 22. NNU made the Transfers to Lloyd in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 23. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 24. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Lloyd were incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.
 - 25. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

⁷ *Id.*. Docket No. 9.

⁸ *Id.*. Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

and recover the Transfers to Lloyd, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

26. Alternatively, to the extent that Lloyd took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Lloyd, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

- 27. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 28. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 29. NNU made the Transfers to Lloyd in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 30. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 31. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Lloyd were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.
- 32. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.
- 33. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers from Lloyd, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the

Defendants.

34. Alternatively, to the extent that Lloyd took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Lloyd, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

- 35. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
 - 36. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.
 - 37. NNU made the Transfers to Lloyd in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 38. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Lloyd was incurred.
- 39. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Lloyd was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligation.
- 40. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.
- 41. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Lloyd, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.
- 42. Alternatively, to the extent that Lloyd took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Lloyd, or

in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

- 43. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 44. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 45. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.
 - 46. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.
 - 47. An injustice would result if Defendants were allowed to keep the Transfers.
- 48. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendants, or in the alternative if Lloyd acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

- 49. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 50. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 51. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendants.
 - 52. The Defendants knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.
 - 53. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants

and would be inequitable.

- 54. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendants' unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.
- 55. Defendants must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Lloyd acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

- 56. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
 - 57. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 58. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendants.
- 59. Defendants have no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.
- 60. All Transfers made to Defendants, or if Lloyd acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against the Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$145,921.70, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$10,921.70.
 - B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against the

Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$145,921.70, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$10,921.70.

- C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against the Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$145,921.70, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$10,921.70.
- D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on the Transfers, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers.
- E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$145,921.70, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$10,921.70.
- F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring the Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$145,921.70, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$10,921.70.
- G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

/

/

/

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 21st day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington Attorneys for Receiver

EXHIBIT A

Investment Pay History

Reference

LL06-0916-PY NNU

Printed 02/12/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Additional information

Escrow pmt: 0 Service fee: 0 Total pmt: 1101 Ord/Day/LFee: 1/15		1101.27 0.00 0.00 1101.27	NSF fee:	12.000 12.000 Fixed USRule 365 M 25.00	Acct closed	: 02/19/08	Orig bal: Orig date: Maturity:		0.00 09/14/06 09/01/08
		7.00 1108.27	Reminder: MATURITY DATE	09/01/08					
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	nt/Baland Late	ce Svc
09/14/06		0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/14/06	P	-35000.00	*	0.00	0.00	35000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/06	10/01/06 R	195.62	0.00 19352	195.62	0.00	35000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/06	11/01/06 R	350.00	0.00 19778	350.00	0.00	35000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/06	12/01/06 R	350.00	0.00 20207	350.00	0.00	35000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Total: 895.62		-35000.00	895.62	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
01/01/07	01/01/07 R	350.00	0.00 20647	350.00	0.00	35000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/07	02/01/07 R	350.00	0.00 21094	350.00	0.00	35000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/07	03/01/07 R	350.00	0.00 21556	350.00	0.00	35000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/07	04/01/07 R	350.00	0.00 22019	350.00	0.00	35000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/12/07	P	25000.00	24873.42 3776	126.58	0.00	10126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/07	05/01/07 R	63.26	0.00 22488	63.26	0.00	10126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/07	06/01/07 R	101.27	0.00 22960	101.27	0.00	10126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/18/07	P	-60000.00	-60000.00 WT061807	0.00 56.60 AI	0.00	70126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/18/07	Р	-40000.00	-40000.00 WT061807	0.00 56.60 AI	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/07	07/01/07 R	527.28	0.00 23434	101.27 426.01 AI	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/07	Р	0.00	0.00 23434	426.01	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/07	08/01/07 R	1101.27	0.00 23915	1101.27	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00

Investment Pay History

Reference

16-PY NNU

Page 2

Printed 02/12/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

							Paym	ent/Balance	
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Svo
09/01/07	09/01/07 R	1101.27	0.00 2439 7	1101.27	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/07	10/01/07 R	1101.27	0.00 24897	1101.27	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/07	11/01/07 R	1101.27	0.00 25397	1101.27	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/07	12/01/07 R	1101.27	0.00 2590 6	1101.27	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	tal:	32598.16	-75126.58	7724.74	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:					•	0.00		
01/01/08	01/01/08 R	1101.27	0.00 26426	1101.27	0.00	110126.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
01/15/08	, P	50000.00	49493.12 4161	506.88	0.00	60633.46	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/08	02/01/08 R	1101.27	762.39 26937	338.88	0.00	59871.07	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/19/08	ΥΥ	60225.38	59871.07 4203	354.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	112427.92	110126.58	2301.34	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:					·	0.00		
Report Tot Escrow Pa		145921.70	0.00	10921.70	0.00		0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 10921.70

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of miniating the civil o	GOCKET SHEET. (BEE INSTRUCT	TONS ON NEXT TRUE O	rinisro	Territoria						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Receiver				DEFENDANTS LAWRENCE LLOYD, a Utah resident, and JOHN DOES 1-5,						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Salt Lake (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Salt Lake (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #	Address, and Telephone Number, 1000, SLC, UT 84101;			Attorneys (If Known,)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in On	e Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Place			
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	J 2 🗇 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A				
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation	060			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	r (Place an "X" in One Box Only		l l bre	i Dari na kalanda ny danàna ilay ilay ilay	DAN	NEADIAD TO A SECOND	Amara Capanenna			
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☒ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 200 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education 448 Education 6	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	7 62 69 69 71 72 72 74 75 79 79 79	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR D Fair Labor Standards Act D Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act D Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	422 Appr 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copp 830 Pater 840 Trad 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSIE 865 RSI (RTY RIGHTS rights tt emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced an Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedu Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	moved from	ppellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened Anothe (specify)	er District	6 Multidistri Litigation	ict			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of cause Recovery of the va	se: lue of transfers from	m Defer	ndant for the benefit	t of the rec	eivership estat	e.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DF	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: 145,921.70 JURY DEMAND:						
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(Can imply optional)	_{UDGE} Jenkins			······································	T NUMBER 2:1				
6/20/13		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	OR THE S	RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		IUDGE	···-	MAG IUD)GE			