Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050) **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 Email: <u>hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</u> <u>martinez.chris@dorsey.com</u> <u>armington.jeff@dorsey.com</u>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v. JIM CONDIE, THE LEE & CAROL CONDIE FAMILY TRUST, MIKE CONDIE, and JOHN DOES 1-5,	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591) Civil No
Defendants	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "<u>Receiver</u>" or "<u>Plaintiff</u>") of

National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless

otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as

"<u>NNU</u>"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("<u>Palmer</u>"), in the case styled as Securities and

Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah)

(Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against Jim

Condie ("Jim"), the Lee & Carol Condie Family Trust ("Trust"), Mike Condie ("Mike"), and

John Does 1-5 ("Defendant Does" and together with Jim, Trust, and Mike, "Defendant"), and

states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors.¹ Upon information and belief, Defendant was an NNU investor who received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "<u>Receivership Order</u>"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . ."³

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jim is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Utah.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Trust is a trust established under the laws of the State of Utah.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mike is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Utah.

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Jim, Trust, or Mike has transferred monies or property received from NNU.

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

10. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.

11. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "<u>NNU</u>."

12. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "<u>Investor Account</u>").

13. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.

14. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

Defendant's Investment and the Transfers

15. On or about 2006, Defendant commenced investing with NNU. A history of Defendant's investment(s) is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A.</u>

16. Defendant paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$54,000.00 on or about 2006 (the "<u>Principal Cash Investment</u>").

17. As set forth on <u>Exhibit A</u>, NNU transferred a total of \$70,759.23 in cash to Defendant (the "<u>Total Transfers</u>").

18. Of the Total Transfers, \$16,759.23 is an amount that is over and above Defendant's Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "<u>Transfers</u>").⁴

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

19. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵

20. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement

⁴ See Exh. A.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

Case 2:13-cv-00530-DN Document 2 Filed 06/21/13 Page 5 of 10

Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

21. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

22. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

23. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

24. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

25. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

26. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Defendant incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.

27. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

28. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

29. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

30. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

31. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

32. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

33. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.

34. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.

35. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

36. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

37. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

38. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

39. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme

40. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred.

41. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.

42. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.

43. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

44. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

45. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

46. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

47. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and

would be inequitable.

48. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

49. An injustice would result if Defendant was allowed to keep the Transfers.

50. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendant, or in the alternative if Defendant acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

51. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

52. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

53. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendant.

54. The Defendant knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

55. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

56. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by

Defendant's unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

57. Defendant must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

58. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the

preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

59. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

60. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendant.

61. Defendant has no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.

62. All Transfers made to Defendant, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendant as follows:

A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$70,759.23, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$16,759.23.

B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$70,759.23, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$16,759.23.

C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$70,759.23, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$16,759.23.

D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant

Case 2:13-cv-00530-DN Document 2 Filed 06/21/13 Page 10 of 10

imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$70,759.23, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$16,759.23.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$70,759.23, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$16,759.23.

G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
 DATED this 20th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington *Attorneys for Receiver*

Case 2:13-cv-00530-DN Document 2-1 Filed 06/21/13 Page 1 of 1

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Condie LC06-0301-PY NNU Printed 02/12/13 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

H: 801 222-0144

Lee & Carol Condie Family Trust Condie, Jim 239 South Inglewood Drive Orem, UT 84097

W: 801 572-9700

Additional information

The Lee & Carol Condie Family Trust # 332

Mike Condie 1985 North Pointe Meadow Drive #A Lehi UT 84043

W: 801 646-5124 #3112

VV: 801 572-970	Ю	H: 801 222-014	44	VV: 801 6	40-0124 #3112			
		O: 801 471-77	36			O: 801 60)4-6866	i
Regular pmt: Escrow pmt: Service fee: Total pmt: Ord/Day/LFee: 1/15	6480.00 0.00 0.00 6480.00 129.60	Int rate: Int calc: Pmt type: 1099 NSF fee: Reminder:	12.000 12.000 Fixed T USRule 365 A 25.00 03/01/08	Acct closed:	10/01/08	Orig bal: Orig date: Maturity:		0.00 03/01/06 03/01/08
Total if late:	6609.60	MATURITY DATE						
Pay Date Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	ce Svc
03/01/06	0.00) 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/06 P	-54000.00) -54000.00 35137787	0.00	0.00	54000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Total:	0.00	-54000.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Paid Out:						0.00		
03/01/07 03/01/07 R	6480.00) 0.00 21416	6480.00	0.00	54000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Total:	6480.00	0.00	6480.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Paid Out:						0.00		
03/01/08 03/01/08 R	6480.00) 0.00 27300	6480.00	0.00	54000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/08 Y	57799.23	3 54000.00 4516	3799.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Total:	64279.23	54000.00	10279.23	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Paid Out:						0.00		
Report Total:	70759.23	0.00	16759.23	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Paid Out:						0.00		
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 16759.23

Case 2:13-cv-00530-DN Document 2-2 Filed 06/21/13 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

	ioeket sheet. (SEE INSTROC	SHOND ON NEXT FACE OF	r more	/10/1.j					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Receiver (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Salt Lake</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS Jim Condie, The Lee and Carol Condie Family Trust, Mike Condie, and John Does 1-5, County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP	Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Known)				
136 South Main Street #	1000, SLC, UT 84101	; 801-933-7360							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCI	PAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	for Plaintif,
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)			PTF DEI	F 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In 7		for Defenda PTF D 4	ant) DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens)	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	2 0	2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place	05	D 5
				•	3 0	3 Foreign Nation		0 6	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Roy O	nlv)	Fo	reign Country		· · · · ·			<u> </u>
CONTRACT		DRTS	FC	DREEDURE/PENALWY	B	ANKRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR I 310 Airplane I 365 Personal Injury I 315 Airplane Product Product Liability Liability I 367 Health Care/		 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other 		🗖 423 W	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 		 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 410 Antitrust 	
 I50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment I51 Medicare Act 	 320 Assault, Libel & Slander. 330 Federal Employers' 	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability			□ 820 C □ 830 Pa	atent	 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and 		
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability I 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product			🗇 840 Tı	rademark	Corrup	t Organizat ner Credit	
(Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER'		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards		AL SECURITY IA (1395ff)	 490 Cable/S 850 Securit 	Sat TV	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act	🗇 862 BI	lack Lung (923)	Excha	nge	
 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal 	0 72	0 Labor/Management Relations		IWC/DIWW (405(g)) SID Title XVI	□ 890 Other 8 □ 891 Agricu		
 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical		SI (405(g))	□ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedo	nmental Ma	atters
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Act			Act		nation
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement	FEDE	RAL TAX SUITS	 896 Arbitra 899 Admin 		ocedure
210 Land Condemnation	□ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act	🗇 870 Ta	axes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Re	view or Ap	
 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate 			🗇 871 IR	r Defendant) RS—–Third Party	D 950 Constit		of
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General			20	6 USC 7609	State St	atutes	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:		IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application					
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of 		5 Other Immigration Actions	n i				
V ODICIN -	L	Confinement							
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop		er District	6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	[28 U S C 8 754	utute under which you are	e filing (D			diversity):			
	Recovery of the v	alue of transfers from	·····		t of the r				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND\$, 70,759.23		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complain X No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Jenkins			DOCH	KET NUMBER 2:1	12-cv-00591		
DATE 6/20/13		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	Rheyo	F R ECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		UK P	~						
RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		