Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050)

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685

Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373

Email: hunt.peggy@dorsey.com
martinez.chris@dorsey.com
armington.jeff@dorsey.com

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v. JEREMY REID, a Utah resident; CHRISTY	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591)
REID, a Utah resident; and JOHN DOES 1-5,	Civil No
Defendants.	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "NNU"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("Palmer"), in the case styled as Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against Jeremy Reid, Christy Reid, and John Does 1-5 ("Defendant Does") (collectively, "Defendants"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors. Upon information and belief, Defendants Jeremy Reid and Christy Reid were NNU investors who received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendants for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

- 2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "Receivership Order"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . ."³
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Jeremy Reid is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Utah.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Christy Reid is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Utah. Collectively, Jeremy Reid and Christy Reid are referred to herein as "Reid."
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Reid has transferred monies or property received from NNU.

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

- 9. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.
- 10. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "NNU."
- 11. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "Investor Account").
- 12. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.
 - 13. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

Defendant's Investment and the Transfers

14. On or about 2006, Reid commenced investing with NNU. A history of Reid's investment(s) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 15. Reid paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$200,000.00 on or about 2006 (the "Principal Cash Investment").
- 16. As set forth on Exhibit A, NNU transferred a total of \$211,176.51 in cash to Reid (the "Total Transfers").
- 17. Of the Total Transfers, \$11,176.51 is an amount that is over and above Reid's Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "Transfers").

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

- 18. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵
- 19. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note

⁴ See Exh. A.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

20. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

- 21. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 22. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 23. NNU made the Transfers to Reid in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 24. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 25. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Reid were incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.
- 26. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Reid, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.
- 27. Alternatively, to the extent that Reid took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Reid, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

- 28. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 29. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 30. NNU made the Transfers to Reid in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 31. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 32. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Reid were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.
- 33. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.
- 34. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Reid, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.
- 35. Alternatively, to the extent that Reid took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Reid, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

36. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the

preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

- 37. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.
- 38. NNU made the Transfers to Reid in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme
- 39. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Reid was incurred.
- 40. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Reid was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.
- 41. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.
- 42. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Reid, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.
- 43. Alternatively, to the extent that Reid took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Reid, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

- 44. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 45. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 46. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.
 - 47. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

- 48. An injustice would result if Defendants were allowed to keep the Transfers.
- 49. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendants, or in the alternative if Defendants acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

- 50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 51. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 52. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendants.
 - 53. The Defendants knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.
- 54. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.
- 55. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendants' unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.
- 56. Defendants must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Defendants acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

- 57. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
 - 58. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 59. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendants.

- 60. Defendants have no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.
- 61. All Transfers made to Defendants, or if Defendants acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$211,176.51, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,176.51.
- B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$211,176.51, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,176.51.
- C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$211,176.51, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,176.51.
- D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.
- E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of

\$211,176.51, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,176.51.

- F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$211,176.51, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,176.51.
- G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.
 - H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. DATED this 20^{th} day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington Attorneys for Receiver National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088

801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Reid JR06-0629-PY NNU

Printed 02/12/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

Reid, Jeremy

3096 West Banner Drive South Jordan, UT 84095

Additional information

Jeremy & Christy Reid # 403

Christy

W: 801 446-5678

Regular pmt: **Escrow pmt:**

200000.00

Int rate:

12.000 12.000 Fixed

Acct closed:

02/16/07 Orig bal: 0.00

Service fee:

0.00 0.00

Int calc: Pmt type:

1099 T USRule 365 A 25.00 Orig date: Maturity:

06/29/06 12/31/07

Total pmt:

200000.00

NSF fee: Reminder:

12/31/07

MATURITY DATE

				_			Paym	ent/Balance	· (
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Svc
06/29/06		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/29/06	Р	-200000.00	-200000.00 WT062906	0.00	0.00	200000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/22/06	Р	10000.00	6449.32 3396	3550.68	0.00	193550.68	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/02/06	Р	2000.00	0.00 3452	2000.00 608.96 AI	0.00	193550.68	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/06	r	5000.00	573.05 20294	4426.95	0.00	192977.63	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/07/06	Р	2500.00	2500.00 3566	0.00	0.00	190477.63	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/27/06	P	8000.00	8000.00 3590	0.00	0.00	182477.63	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tota	d:	27500.00	-182477.63	9977.63	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Paid	d Out:						0.00		
01/01/07	12/31/06 R	0.00	0.00 BRINGCUR	0.00	0.00	182477.63	0.00	0.00	0.00
01/04/07	Р	2000.00	1760.03 3627	239.97	0.00	180717.60	0.00	0.00	0.00
01/09/07	Р	1500.00	1202.93 3651	297.07	0.00	179514.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
01/16/07	Р	150000.00	149586.87 3653	413.13	0.00	29927.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/07	P	10000.00	9842.57 21274	157.43	0.00	20085.23	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/08/07	Р	3000.00	2953.78 3685	46.22	0.00	17131.45	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/16/07	Y	17176.51	17131.45 3685	45.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tota		183676.51	182477.63	1198.88	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00

National Note of Utah, LC 1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com **Investment Pay History**

Reference

Reid JR06-0629-PY NNU

Page 2

Printed 02/12/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

							Payment/Balance		
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Svc
Report Tot	al:	211176.51	0.00	11176.51	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 11176.51

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Rece	eiver	 	DEFENDANTS Jeremy Reid, Christy Reid, and John Does 1-5, County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Salt Lake (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(b) County of Residence of (E)	f First Listed Plaintiff Salt Lake XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)							
Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP	Address, and Telephone Number)	60	Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif			
U.S. Government Plaintiff	U.S. Government 💆 3 Federal Question			FF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Iten		en of Another State	2				
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					7 100/101/101			
CONFRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	330 Federal Employers' Liability 368 Asbestos 340 Marine 1njury Product Liability PERSONAL P 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fra 355 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fra 360 Other Personal Injury 380 Other Personal Injury 380 Personal Injury 385 Property I 385 Property I 385 Property I 362 Personal Injury 385 Property I 385 Property I 400 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 510 Motions to Sentence 530 General 530 Death Pen Other: 540 Mandamu 550 Civil Right 550 Civil Right 550 Civil Right 560 Civil Deta Conditions Confinence Confin	INJURY Injury - Liability 69 arc/ untical Injury 69 arc/ untical Injury iability Personal oduct PROPERTY 71 ud Lending 72 sonal Damage 74 Damage 75 iability 79 CTITIONS 79 arce us: ainee o Vacate alty 46 alty 46 midition ninee - s of	DREFFURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 6 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 330 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
	n One Box Only) moved from	☐ 4 Reins Reop	pened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation	ict			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	128 U.S.C. 8 754				ie.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		CTION DI	EMAND \$ 211,176.51	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes 🕱 No			
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions): JUDGE Jenki	ins		DOCKET NUMBER 2:1	2-cv-00591			
6/0/13	SIGNATURE	OF ATTORNEY O	F RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT # AN	OUNT APPLYING	G IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	DGE .			