Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050) **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 Email: <u>hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</u> <u>martinez.chris@dorsey.com</u> <u>armington.jeff@dorsey.com</u>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver, Plaintiff, v. SCOTT T. YOUNG, a California resident, and JOHN DOES 1-5,

COMPLAINT

(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591)

Civil No. _____

Defendants.

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of

National Note of Utah, LC ("<u>National Note</u>"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "<u>NNU</u>"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("<u>Palmer</u>"), in the case styled as *Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al.*, Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "<u>SEC Civil Enforcement Case</u>"), hereby files this Complaint against Scott T.

Young and John Does 1-5 ("<u>Defendant Does</u>") (collectively, "<u>Defendants</u>"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors.¹ Upon information and belief, Defendants received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendants for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "<u>Receivership Order</u>"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . .³

3. Upon information and belief, Scott T. Young ("<u>Young</u>") is a resident of or is domiciled in the State of California.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Young has transferred monies or property received from NNU.

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

8. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.

9. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "<u>NNU</u>."

10. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "<u>Investor Account</u>").

11. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.

12. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

Young's Investment and the Transfers

13. On or about 2007, Young commenced investing with NNU. Histories of Young's investments are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

14. Young paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$140,000.00 on or about 2007 (the "Principal Cash Investment").

15. As set forth on <u>Exhibit A</u>, NNU transferred a total of \$148,360.27 in cash to Young (the "<u>Total Transfers</u>").

16. Of the Total Transfers, \$8,360.27 is an amount that is over and above Young's Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "<u>Transfers</u>").⁴

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

17. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵

⁴ See Exh. A.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

Case 2:13-cv-00485-DS Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 5 of 11

18. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

19. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

20. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

21. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

- 22. NNU made the Transfers to Young in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 23. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 24. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Young were incurred with actual

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.

25. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Young, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

26. Alternatively, to the extent that Young took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Young, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

27. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

28. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

29. NNU made the Transfers to Young in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

30. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

31. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Young were incurred by NNU

without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.

32. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.

33. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers from Young, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

34. Alternatively, to the extent that Young took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Young, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

35. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

36. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

37. NNU made the Transfers to Young in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

38. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Young was incurred.

39. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Young was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligation.

40. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.

41. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Young, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

42. Alternatively, to the extent that Young took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Young, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

43. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

44. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

45. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.

46. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

47. An injustice would result if Defendants were allowed to keep the Transfers.

48. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendants, or in the alternative if Young acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

49. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

50. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

51. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendants.

52. The Defendants knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

53. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.

54. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendants' unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

55. Defendants must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Young acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

56. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

57. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

58. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendants.

59. Defendants have no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.

60. All Transfers made to Defendants, or if Young acted in good faith, the False

Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against the

Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and

permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$148,360.27,

or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$8,360.27.

B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against the Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$148,360.27, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$8,360.27.

C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against the Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$148,360.27, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$8,360.27.

D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on the Transfers, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$148,360.27, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$8,360.27.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring the Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$148,360.27, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$8,360.27.

G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

/

/

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 18th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington Attorneys for Receiver

Case 2:13-cv-00485-DS Document 2-1 Filed 06/19/13 Page 1 of 4

EXHIBIT A

National Note of Utah, LC 1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Young SY07-0706-PY NNU Printed 02/12/13 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

Young, Scott T. 327 West Yanonali Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Additional information

Scott Young # 568

0.00		Orig bal:	03/01/09	Acct closed:	000 12.000	Int rate:			
07/06/0		Orig date:			Fixed	Int calc:	0.00	Escrow pmt:	
07/01/1		Maturity:			Rule 365 M	Pmt type: 1099 1	0.00	Service fee:	
		-			25.00 07/01/17	NSF fee: Reminder: MATURITY DATE			Total pmt:
ce	nt/Balan	Payme	Deele	Diss				Due/Ture	Day Data
Svo	Late	Escrow	Basis	DiscEarn	Income	RetCap/Ref	Amount	Due/Type	Pay Date
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		07/06/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70000.00	0.00	0.00	-70000.00 97905237	-70000.00	P	07/06/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70000.00	0.00	0.01 598.35 Al	0.00 24088	598.36	08/01/07 R	08/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70000.00	0.00	598.35	0.00 24088	0.00	P	08/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70598.36	0.00	0.00	-598.36 ADD2PRIN	-598.36	Р	08/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70598.36	0.00	0.01 705.97 Al	0.00 24574	705.98	09/01/07 R	09/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70598.36	0.00	705.97	0.00 24574	0.00	P	09/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	71304.34	0.00	0.00	-705.98 ADD2PRIN	-705.98	Р	09/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	562.62	71304.34 ADD2PRIN	71866.96	. Y	09/25/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70000.00	0.00	0.00	-70000.00 97905395	-70000.00	Р	11/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70000.00	0.00	0.01 690.40 Al	0.00 26094	690.41	12/01/07 R	12/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70000.00	0.00	690.40	0.00 26094	0.00	P	12/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	70690.41	0.00	0.00	-690.41 ADD2PRIN	-690.41	Р	12/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	557.37	-70690.41	73861.71	al:	Annual Tot
0.00		0.00							Escrow Pa
0.00	0.00	0.00	70690.41	0.00	0.01	0.00	706.90	01/01/08 R	01/01/08
0.00	0.00	0.00	70690.41	0.00	706.89 Al 706.89	26613 0.00 26613	0.00	Р	01/01/08
0.00	0.00	0.00	71397.31	0.00	0.00	-706.90 ADD2PRIN	-706.90	Р	01/01/08
0.00	0.00	0.00	71397.31	0.00	0.01 713.96 슈J	0.00 27128	713.97	02/01/08 R	02/01/08

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Young SY07-0706-PY NNU Page 2 Printed 02/12/13 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	nt/Balance - Late	 Svc
			· · · ·		5				
02/01/08	Р	0.00	0.00 27128	713.96	0.00	71397.31	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/08	Р	-713.97	-713.97 ADD2PRIN	0.00	0.00	72111.28	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/08	03/01/08 R	10000.00	0.00 27658	0.01 721.10 AI	0.00	72111.28	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/08	Ρ	0.00	9278.89 27658	721.10	0.00	62832.39	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/08	04/01/08 R	10000.00	0.00 28200	0.01 628.31 AI	0.00	62832.39	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/08	P	0.00	9371.68 28200	628.31	0.00	53460.71	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/08	05/01/08 R	10000.00	0.00 28743	0.01 534.60 Al	0.00	53460.71	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/08	Ρ	0.00	9465.39 28743	534.60	0.00	43995.32	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/08	06/01/08 R	439.95	0.00 29291	0.01 439.94 Al	0.00	43995.32	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/08	P	0.00	0.00 29291	439.94	0.00	43995.32	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/08	P	-439.95	-439.95 ADD2PRIN	0.00	0.00	44435.27	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/23/08	Р	3000.00	2678.61 4361	321.39	0.00	41756.66	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	07/01/08 R	109.83	0.00 29852	0.01 109.82 Al	0.00	41756.66	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	P	0.00	0.00 29852	109.82	0.00	41756.66	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	Р	-109.83	-109.83 ADD2PRIN	0.00	0.00	41866.49	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/08	08/01/08 R	15000.00	0.00 30401	0.01 418.65 A∣	0.00	41866.49	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/08	P	0.00	14581.34 30401	418.65	0.00	27285.15	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	09/01/08 R	272.85	0.00 30956	0.01 272.84 A	0.00	27285.15	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	Р	0.00	0.00 30956	272.84	0.00	27285.15	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	P	-272.85	-272.85 ADD2PRIN	0.00	0.00	27558.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/08	10/01/08 R	5000.00	4724.42 31519	275.58	0.00	22833.58	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/08	11/01/08 R	5000.00	4771.66 32082	228.34	0.00	18061.92	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/08	12/01/08 R	5000.00	4819.38 3264 8	180.62	0.00	13242.54	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot Escrow Pai		65243.50	57447.87	5552.13	0.00	· · · ·	0.00	0.00	0.00

National Note of Utah, LC

Investment Pay History

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Reference		
Young SY07-0706		Page 3
Printed 02/12/13	Period of 01/0	01/90 - 12/31/12

	<u> </u>			34***			Payment/Balance			
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Svc	
01/01/09	01/01/09 R	5000.00	4867.57 33219	132.43	0.00	8374.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	
01/01/09	02/01/09 R	5000.00	4916.25 33791	83.75	0.00	3458.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	
03/01/09	03/01/09 R	3493.31	3458.72 34364	34.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual Tol	al:	13493.31	13242.54	250.77	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00			
Report Tot	al:	152598.52	0.00	8360.27	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00			
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Taxable: 8360.27

Case 2:13-cv-00485-DS Document 2-2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

purpose of miniating the civil do	eket sheet. (BEE INSTRUCT	IONS ON NEXT FAOR OF	moro	1001.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Recei	ver			DEFENDANTS Scott T. Young and	John Does 1-5,			
(b) County of Residence of (Ελ	First Listed Plaintiff <u>St</u> CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	alt Lake		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>State of Caifornia</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #1				Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box (Inly)		[TIZENSHIP OF P] (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	iot a Party)			FF DEF 1	PTF DEF rincipal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)			2 🗇 2 Incorporated and of Business In	Another State		
				en or Subject of a 🛛 🗇	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	1 -	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 	 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 	370 Other Fraud	1 RTY 07	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other <u>LABOR</u> 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 	 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 		
 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 444 Housing/ 	 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence 	0 7 0 7 0 7 <u>NS</u> 0 7	 20 Eabor Natagenetit 20 Eabor Natagenetit 240 Railway Labor Act 251 Family and Medical Leave Act 290 Other Labor Litigation 291 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 	□ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 		
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 	her 🗆 4	IMMIGRATION 162 Naturalization Application 165 Other Immigration Actions	n			
	emoved from 3 ate Court	Appellate Court	Ree	(specify	er District Litigatio			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 28 U.S.C. § 754 Brief description of ca Recovery of the v	ause: /alue of transfers fi	rom Def		it of the receivership est	ate.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTIO 23, F.R.Cv.P.	N	DEMAND \$ 148,360.27	CHECK YES onl JURY DEMANI	y if demanded in complaint: D: □ Yes 🛛 No		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Jenkins	\subset		DOCKET NUMBER 2	:12-cv-00591		
DATE G/18/13 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF A	TORNEY	ØF RECORD				
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. J	UDGE		