Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050) **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 Email: <u>hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</u> <u>martinez.chris@dorsey.com</u> <u>armington.jeff@dorsey.com</u>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,

Plaintiff,

v.

TONY VEGA, a Nevada resident, SONIA CANALES, a Nevada resident, and JOHN DOES 1-5,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591)

Civil No. _____

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "<u>Receiver</u>" or "<u>Plaintiff</u>") of

National Note of Utah, LC ("<u>National Note</u>"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "<u>NNU</u>"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("<u>Palmer</u>"), in the case styled as *Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al.*, Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "<u>SEC Civil Enforcement Case</u>"), hereby files this Complaint against Tony

Vega, Sonia Canales, and John Does 1-5 ("<u>Defendant Does</u>") (collectively, "<u>Defendants</u>"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors.¹ Upon information and belief, Defendants received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendants for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "<u>Receivership Order</u>"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . .³

3. Upon information and belief, Tony Vega is a resident of or is domiciled in the State of Nevada.

4. Upon information and belief, Sonia Canales is a resident of or is domiciled in the State of Nevada. Collectively Tony Vega and Sonia Canales are referred to as the *"Vega/Canales."*

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom the Vega/Canales have transferred monies or property received from NNU.

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

9. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.

10. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "<u>NNU</u>."

11. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "<u>Investor Account</u>").

12. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.

13. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

The Vega/Canales' Investment and the Transfers

14. On or about 2006, the Vega/Canales commenced investing with NNU. Histories of the Vega/Canales' investments are attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A.</u>

15. The Vega/Canales paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$101,500.00 on or about2006 through 2008 (the "<u>Principal Cash Investment</u>").

16. As set forth on <u>Exhibit A</u>, NNU transferred a total of \$132,145.58 in cash to the Vega/Canales (the "<u>Total Transfers</u>").

17. Of the Total Transfers, \$30,645.58 is an amount that is over and above the Vega/Canales' Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "<u>Transfers</u>").⁴

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

18. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties

⁴ See Exh. A.

against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all illgotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵

19. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

20. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

21. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

22. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

23. NNU made the Transfers to the Vega/Canales in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

24. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

25. The Transfers were made and any obligations to the Vega/Canales were incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.

26. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to the Vega/Canales, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

27. Alternatively, to the extent that the Vega/Canales took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from the Vega/Canales, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

28. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

29. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi

scheme.

30. NNU made the Transfers to the Vega/Canales in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

31. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

32. The Transfers were made or the obligations to the Vega/Canales were incurred by

NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or

obligations.

Case 2:13-cv-00492-DN Document 2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 7 of 11

33. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.

34. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers from the Vega/Canales, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

35. Alternatively, to the extent that the Vega/Canales took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from the Vega/Canales, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

36. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

- 37. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.
- 38. NNU made the Transfers to the Vega/Canales in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 39. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the

obligation to the Vega/Canales was incurred.

40. The Transfers were made or the obligation to the Vega/Canales was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or

obligation.

41. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.

42. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to the Vega/Canales, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

43. Alternatively, to the extent that the Vega/Canales took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from the Vega/Canales, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendants.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

44. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

45. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

46. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.

47. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

48. An injustice would result if Defendants were allowed to keep the Transfers.

49. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendants, or in the alternative if the Vega/Canales acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

51. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

52. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendants.

53. The Defendants knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

54. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.

55. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by

Defendants' unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

56. Defendants must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if the Vega/Canales acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

57. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

58. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

59. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendants.

60. Defendants have no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.

61. All Transfers made to Defendants, or if the Vega/Canales acted in good faith, the

False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against the Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$132,145.58, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$30,645.58.

B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against the Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$132,145.58, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$30,645.58.

C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against the Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$132,145.58, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$30,645.58.

D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on the Transfers, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$132,145.58, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$30,645.58.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring the Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$132,145.58, or alternatively, the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$30,645.58.

G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 18th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington *Attorneys for Receiver* Case 2:13-cv-00492-DN Document 2-1 Filed 06/19/13 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:13-cv-00492-DN Document 2-1 Filed 06/19/13 Page 2 of 5

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Reference	

Investment Pay History

105

Relefence

Vega TV06-0907-PY NNU

Printed 02/07/13 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

Vega, Tony 2307 Albatross Way Sparks, NV 89441

Tony Vega **#** 427 Sonia A. Canales

Regular pm Escrow pm Service fee: Total pmt:	t:	2620.00 0.00 0.00 2620.00	Int rate: Int calc: Pmt type: 1099 1 NSF fee:	12.000 12.000 Fixed I USRule 365 M 25.00	Acct closed:	07/01/11	Orig bal: Orig date: Maturity:		0.00 09/07/06 01/01/11
Ord/Day/LFe Total if late:		4.52 2624.52	Reminder: MATURITY DATE	01/01/11					
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	nt/Balan Late	ceSvc
09/07/06		0.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/07/06	Р	-50000.00		0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/07/06	F	50000.00	50000.00 1650	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/19/06	Р	-50000.00	-50000.00 REDEPST	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/06	10/01/06 R	197.26	0.00 19496	197.26	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/06	11/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 19924	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/22/06	P	-49000.00	-49000.00 0091	0.00 345.21 <u>Al</u>	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/06	12/01/06 R	638.14	0.00 20359	500.00 138.14 Al	0.00	99000.00	, 0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/06	Р	0.00	0.00 20359	138.14	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tota	d:	51335.40	-99000.00	1335.40	0.00	······································	0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Paid	d Out:						0.00		
01/01/07	01/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 20798	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/07	02/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 21244	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/07	03/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 21704	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/07	04/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 22166	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/07	05/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 22641	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/07	06/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 23103	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/07	07/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 23583	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/07	08/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 24062	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Reference

Vega TV06-0907-PY NNU Printed 02/07/13 Period of

NNU Page 2 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

ser.

6

Investment Pay History

	· · · ·				··· ·		Payme	ot/Balanco	
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Svc
09/01/07	09/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 24548	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/07	10/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 25052	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/07	11/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 25553	990.00	0.00	99000.00	» 0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/07	12/01/07 R	990.00	0.00 26068	990.00	0.00	99000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/06/07	Р	-2500.00	-2500.00 1706	0.00 162.74 AJ	0.00	101500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual To Escrow Pa		11880.00	-2500.00	11880.00	0.00	<u> </u>	0.00	0.00	0.00
01/01/08	01/01/08 R	1030.36	0.00 26585	1015.00 15.36 Al	0.00	101500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
01/01/08	Р	0.00	0.00 26585	15.36	0.00	101500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/08	02/01/08 R	1015.00	0.00 27100	1015.00	0.00	101500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/08	03/01/08 R	1015.00	0.00 27627	1015.00	0.00	101500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/08	04/01/08 R	1015.00	0.00 28170	1015.00	0.00	101500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/08	05/01/08 R	1015.00	0.00 28712	1015.00	0.00	101500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/08	06/01/08 R	1015.00	0.00 29259	1015.00	0.00	101500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/18/08	Р	40000.00	39432.71 4354	567.29	0.00	62067.29	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	07/01/08 R	265.27	0.00 29818	265.27	0.00	62067.29	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/08	08/01/08 R	620.67	0.00 30366	620.67	0.00	62067.29	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	09/01/08 R	620.67	0.00 30921	620.67	0.00	62067.29	<u>g</u> .00	0.00	0.00
10/01/08	10/01/08 R	620.67	0.00 31483	620.67	0.00	62067.29	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/08	11/01/08 R	620.67	0.00 32047	620.67	0.00	62067.29	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/08	12/01/08 R	620.67	0.00 32612	620.67	0.00	62067.29	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/11/08	Р	30000.00	29795.94 WT121108	204.06	0.00	32271.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot		79473.98	69228.65	10245.33	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa				*****	·		0.00		
01/01/09	01/01/09 R	222.80	0.00 33182	222.80	0.00	32271.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/09	02/01/09 R	322.71	0.00 33754	322.71	0.00	32271.35	0.00	0.00	0.00

National Note of Utah, LC

Investment Pay History

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Vega TV06-0907-PY NNU Printed 02/07/13

ĵ,

Reference

Page 3 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Svo
03/01/09	03/01/09 R	322.71	0.00 34327	322.71	0.00	32271.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/09	04/01/09 R	322.71	0.00 34909	322.71	0.00	32271.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/09	05/01/09 R	322.71	0.00 35497	322.71	0.00	32271.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/09	06/01/09 R	10000.00	0.00 36089	322.71	0.00	32271.35	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/09	P	0.00	9677.29 36089	0.00	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/09	07/01/09 R	225.94	0.00 36686	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/09	08/01/09 R	225.94	0.00 37283	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/09	09/01/09 R	225.94	0.00 37878	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/09	10/01/09 R	225.94	0.00 38482	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/09	11/01/09 R	225.94	0.00 39089	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/09	12/01/09 R	225.94	0.00 39701	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tota		12869.28	9677.29	3191.99	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pai	d Out:						0.00		
D1/01/10	01/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 40328	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/10	02/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 40951	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/10	03/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 41589	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/10	04/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 42222	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/10	05/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 42855	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/10	06/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 43494	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00 C*	0.00	0.00
07/01/10	07/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 44132	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/10	08/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 44773	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/10	09/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 45429	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/10	10/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 46090	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/10	11/01/10 R	225.94	0.00 46762	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/10	12/01/10 R	225.94	0.00	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00

Reference

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

 Vega TV06-0907-PY NNU
 Page 4

 Printed 02/07/13
 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

ń

							Paym	ent/Balance -	********
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Svc
Annual Total:		2711.28	0.00	2711.28	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
01/01/11	01/01/11 R	225.94	0.00 48164	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/11	02/01/11 R	225.94	0.00 48841	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/11	03/01/11 R	225.94	0.00 49527	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/11	04/01/11 R	225.94	0.00 50223	225.94	0.00	22594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/11	05/01/11 R	10225.94	10000.00 50914	225.94	0.00	12594.06	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/11	06/01/11 R	10125.94	10000.00 51619	125.94	0.00	2594.06	~0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/11	07/01/11 R	2620.00	2594.06 52329	25.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	23875.64	22594.06	1281.58	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
Report Tot	al:	182145.58	0.00	30645.58	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:	•					0.00		
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 30645.58

Case 2:13-cv-00492-DN Document 2-2 Filed 06/19/13 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	cket sheet. (BEE INSTRUCTION	NS ON NEAT 1 AGE OF THE	51 ONN.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Recei	ver		DEFENDANTS Tony Vega, Sonia Canales, and John Does 1-5,				
(b) County of Residence of <i>(EX</i>	First Listed Plaintiff <u>Salt</u> CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	Lake	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>State of Nevada</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #1		11-933-7360	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One l	Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not	a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State □		PTF DEF ncipal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship o		Citizen of Another State	of Business In A			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		2	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 151 Medicare Act 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Overpayment	315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle 760 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 363 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	FORFETTURE/PENALTY © 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 © 690 Other □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act ■ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions		 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 		
	moved from I 3 Re ate Court A	ppellate Court	(specify	er District Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON 28 U.S.C. § 754 Brief description of caus Recovery of the val		iling (Do not cite jurisdictional sta Defendant for the benefi	t of the receivership esta	ite.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23,	S A CLASS ACTION F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 132,145.58	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: :		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY		UDGE Jenkins		DOCKET NUMBER 2:	12-cv-00591		
DATE 6/18/13	a	SIGNATURE OF ATTOE	NEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	IDGE		