Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050) **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 Email: <u>hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</u> <u>martinez.chris@dorsey.com</u> <u>armington.jeff@dorsey.com</u>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v. JENNIFFER WELLIVER and JOHN DOES 1- 5,	( <b>Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591</b> ) Civil No
Defendants.	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "<u>Receiver</u>" or "<u>Plaintiff</u>") of National Note of Utah, LC ("<u>National Note</u>"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "<u>NNU</u>"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("<u>Palmer</u>"), in the case styled as *Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al.*, Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "<u>SEC Civil Enforcement Case</u>"), hereby files this Complaint against Jenniffer Welliver ("<u>Welliver</u>"), and John Does 1-5 ("<u>Defendant Does</u>" and together with Welliver, "Defendant"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors.<sup>1</sup> Upon information and belief, Defendant was an NNU investor who received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below. Additionally, the Receiver seeks a declaration that Defendant has no valid interest in real property of the Receivership Estate.

#### PARTIES

2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "<u>Receivership Order</u>"),<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . . "<sup>3</sup>

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Welliver is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Arizona.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Welliver has transferred monies or property received from NNU.

#### JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

## **FACTS**

#### The Ponzi Scheme

8. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.

9. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "<u>NNU</u>."

10. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "<u>Investor Account</u>").

11. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.

12. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

## **Defendant's Investment and the Transfers**

On or about 2005, Defendant commenced investing with NNU. A history of Defendant's investment(s) is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A.</u>

14. Defendant paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$25,000.00 on or about 2005

(the "Principal Cash Investment").

15. As set forth on <u>Exhibit A</u>, NNU transferred a total of \$37,743.15 in cash to Defendant (the "Total Transfers").

16. Of the Total Transfers, \$12,743.15 is an amount that is over and above Defendant's Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "<u>Transfers</u>").<sup>4</sup>


#### National Note's Investment Scheme

17. National Note represented to investors that their investment in National Note would be secured by real property. National Note did not own real property sufficient to secure these investments. Accordingly, National Note devised a scheme pursuant to which National Note would purport to grant security to investors, when in truth, National Note would take investors' money and give them no security in return. National Note's scheme was as follows.

18. First, National Note would lend money to an affiliated entity (the "<u>Affiliate</u>"). The Affiliate would execute a promissory note, pursuant to which it agreed to repay the loan to National Note (the "<u>Affiliate Note</u>"). The <u>Affiliate Note</u> would then be secured by a Trust Deed executed by the Affiliate in favor of National Note (the "<u>Affiliate Trust Deed</u>").

19. National Note then solicited money from investors by promising that their investment would be secured by Assignments of Beneficial Interest in Trust Deed (the "<u>ABIs</u>"). The ABIs purported to assign National Note's "right, title and interest" in the Affiliate Trust Deed. National Note did not assign its interest in the Affiliate Note to the investors. The following diagram shows National Note's scheme:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Exh. A.



20. The investors purportedly received an assignment of National Note's secured interest in real property. This secured interest gave National Note the right to foreclose on the underlying real property if the Affiliate defaulted on the Affiliate Note. If, however, the Affiliate never defaulted and the Affiliate Note was paid, the Affiliate Trust Deed was cancelled and the secured interest disappeared.

21. The Affiliate was not a party to any of the ABIs and there was no privity of contract between the Affiliate and the investors. Accordingly, there was no contract pursuant to which the Affiliate was obligated to pay the Affiliate Note payments to the investors instead of National Note. Moreover, the ABI did not assign National Note's rights under the Affiliate Note to the investors. Accordingly, the ABI did not give the Investor the right to demand payment under the Affiliate Note.

22. The end result of this scheme was that the investors received no security at all. If National Note breached its agreement with the investor, the investor had no foreclosure rights as a result of the assignment of National Note's interest in the Affiliate Deed of Trust, because the


## Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 6 of 14

Affiliate Deed of Trust was security for the Affiliate Note, not the agreement between National Note and the investors.

#### **Defendant Invests \$25,000 with National Note**

23. In or about 2005, Defendant invested \$25,000.00 with National Note. This agreement was documented in a Promissory Note, dated December 19, 2005 (the "<u>Welliver</u> <u>Note</u>").

24. Consistent with the financing scheme outlined above, the Welliver Note was not secured by a deed of trust. Instead, on January 9, 2008, National Note executed an Assignment of Beneficial Interest in Trust Deed in favor of Welliver (the "<u>Welliver ABI</u>"). The Welliver ABI purported to assign National Note's interest in a Trust Deed for real property in Malad, Idaho - specifically Lot 40 of the Elkhorn Ridge Estates ("<u>Elkhorn Lot 40</u>"). This Trust Deed was executed by Elkhorn Ridge, LLC in favor of National Note (the "<u>NNU Lot 40 Trust Deed</u>"). The NNU Lot 40 Trust Deed was security for a \$48,750.00 loan between National Note and Elkhorn Ridge, LLC (the "<u>NNU Lot 40 Note</u>"). National Note did not assign its beneficial interest in the NNU Lot 40 Note to Defendant. The following diagram illustrates the transactions:



#### Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 7 of 14

26. National Note did not execute a trust deed for Elkhorn Lot 40 in favor of Defendant. Moreover, Elkhorn Ridge, LLC is not a party to the Welliver ABI. Indeed, there is no privity of contract between Elkhorn Ridge, LLC and Defendant. Finally, the Welliver ABI did not assign National Note's rights under the NNU Lot 40 Note to Defendant. Accordingly, the Welliver ABI did not give Defendant the right to demand payment under the NNU Lot 40 Note.

27. All that Defendant purported to receive through the Welliver ABI was an assignment of National Note's security interest in the NNU Lot 40 Trust Deed. National Note's security interest merely gave National Note the right to foreclose on Elkhorn Lot 40 if Elkhorn Ridge, LLC defaulted on the NNU Lot 40 Note. If, however, Elkhorn Ridge, LLC never defaulted and the NNU Lot 40 Note was paid, the NNU Lot 40 Trust Deed was cancelled and the secured interest disappeared, regardless of whether National Note honored the Welliver Note.

28. Conversely, the Welliver ABI gave Defendant no right to foreclose on Elkhorn Lot 40, had National Note defaulted on the Welliver Note. This is because the NNU Lot 40 Trust Deed did not secure the Welliver Note. Accordingly, as a matter of law and fact, the Welliver ABI gave no security to Defendant. The Welliver Note was nothing more than an unsecured note.<sup>5</sup>

## The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

29. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Receiver located an assignment of beneficial interest between Welliver as assignor and National Note as assignee dated March 22, 2010, which reconveyed Welliver's interest in the Welliver ABI to National Note. However, this reconveyance was never recorded.

## Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 8 of 14

and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.<sup>6</sup>

30. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants<sup>7</sup> and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.<sup>8</sup> Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.<sup>9</sup>

31. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.<sup>10</sup>

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

32. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Id., Docket No. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Id., Docket No. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

## Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 9 of 14

preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

33. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

34. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

35. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

36. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Defendant incurred with actual

intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.

37. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

38. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

39. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the

preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

40. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi

scheme.

41. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

42. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

43. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred by NNU

without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.

44. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be

## Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 10 of 14

engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.

45. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

46. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

#### **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

47. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

48. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

49. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme

50. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the

obligation to Defendant was incurred.

51. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred by NNU

without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.

52. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.

53. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

54. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

## FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

55. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

56. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

57. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

58. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

59. An injustice would result if Defendant was allowed to keep the Transfers.

60. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendant, or in the alternative if Defendant acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

# FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

61. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

62. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

63. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendant.

64. The Defendant knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

## Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 12 of 14

65. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

66. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendant's unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

67. Defendant must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

## SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

68. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

69. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

70. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendant.

71. Defendant has no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.

72. All Transfers made to Defendant, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

#### SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Judgment)

73. The Receiver incorporates by reference herein all previous paragraphs of this Complaint.

74. An actual controversy has arisen between the Receiver and Defendant regarding the enforceability of the Welliver ABI.

75. The Receiver is entitled to a declaratory judgment that:

a. The Welliver ABI is invalid and never gave Defendant any security for its
 \$25,000.00 investment with National Note.

76. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order that the respective rights and duties of the parties may be determined.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendant as follows:

A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$37,743.15, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$12,743.15.

B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$37,743.15, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$12,743.15.

C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$37,743.15, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$12,743.15.

D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant for

## Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 14 of 14

unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$37,743.15, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$12,743.15.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$37,743.15, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$12,743.15.

G. Pursuant to the Receiver's Seventh Claim for Relief, entry of an Order and

Judgment declaring that:

a. The Welliver ABI is invalid and never gave Welliver any security for its \$25,000.00 loan to National Note.

H. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

I. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2013.

## **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP**

<u>/s/ Peggy Hunt</u> Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington *Attorneys for Receiver*  Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2-1 Filed 06/17/13 Page 1 of 4

# EXHIBIT A

## Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2-1 Filed 06/17/13 Page 2 of 4

# National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

#### Reference

Welliver JW05-1219-PY NNU Printed 02/12/13 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

Welliver, Jenniffer A. 9233 East Nevill Avenue, #1027 Mesa, AZ 85209-1504

REDACTED

Regular p	mt:	250.00	Int rate:	12.000 12.000	Acct closed:	03/17/10	Orig bal:		0.00
Escrow pmt:		0.00	Int calc:	Fixed	Acti ciosea.	03/17/10	Orig date:		0.00 12/19/05
Service fee: Total pmt:		0.00		USRule 365 M			Maturity:		01/01/10
		250.00	NSF fee:	25.00			macarrey.		01/01/10
Ord/Day/L	.Fee: 1/15	5.00	Reminder:	01/01/10					
Total if lat	te:	255.00	MATURITY DATE	1.17					
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	nt/Balan Late	ce Svc
12/19/05		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/19/05	Р	-25000.00		0.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			5032	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
Annual To		0.00	-25000.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa						r 	0.00		
02/01/06	02/01/06 R	361.64	0.00 16425	250.00 111.64 Al	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/06	Р	0.00		111.64	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/06	03/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 16762	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/06	04/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 17121	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/06	05/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 17493	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/06	06/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 17874	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/06	07/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 18267	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/06	08/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 18667	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/06	09/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 19078	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/06	10/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 19503	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/06	11/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 19931	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/06	12/01/06 R	250.00	0.00 20365	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual To	tal:	2861.64	0.00	2861.64	0.00	<u></u>	0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa							0.00		
01/01/07	01/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 20804	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

#### Additional information

Jenniffer Welliver # 292

# **Investment Pay History**

# Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2-1 Filed 06/17/13 Page 3 of 4

# National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

# Reference

# **Investment Pay History**

 Welliver JW05-1219-PY NNU
 Page 2

 Printed 02/12/13
 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	nt/Balance Late	Svo
02/01/07	02/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 21251	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/07	03/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 21711	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/07	04/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 22173	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/07	05/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 22648	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/07	06/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 23110	250.00	0:00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/07	07/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 23590	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/07	08/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 24070	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/07	09/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 24556	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/07	10/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 25060	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/07	11/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 25561	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/07	12/01/07 R	250.00	0.00 26076	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual To	tal:	3000.00	0.00	3000.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:			· · · · · · · · · ·			0.00		
01/01/08	01/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 26593	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/08	02/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 27109	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/08	03/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 27637	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/08	04/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 28180	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/08	05/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 28722	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/08	06/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 29270	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	07/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 29830	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/08	08/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 30378	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	09/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 30933	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/08	10/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 31495	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/08	11/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 32059	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/08	12/01/08 R	250.00	0.00 32624	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2-1 Filed 06/17/13 Page 4 of 4

# National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

# **Investment Pay History**

Reference

 Welliver JW05-1219-PY NNU
 Page 3

 Printed 02/12/13
 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	ent/Balance - Late	Svc
			· · · · ·						
Annual Tot		3000.00	0.00	3000.00	0.00		0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa		250.00	0.00	050.00	0.00	25000.00			
01/01/09	01/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 33195	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/09	02/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 33768	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/09	03/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 34341	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/09	04/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 34924	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/09	05/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 35511	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/09	06/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 36103	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/09	07/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 36700	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/09	08/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 37297	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/09	09/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 37892	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/09	10/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 38496	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/09	11/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 39103	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/09	12/01/09 R	250.00	0.00 39715	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	3000.00	0.00	3000.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa					0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
01/01/10	01/01/10 R	250.00	0.00 40342	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/10	02/01/10 R	250.00	0.00 40966	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/10	03/01/10 R	250.00	0.00 41604	250.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/17/10	Y	25131.51	25000.00 5034	131.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	25881.51	25000.00	881.51	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa		·					0.00		
Report Tota	al:	37743.15	0.00	12743.15	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pai	id Out:			÷.,			0.00		
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 12743.15

Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2-2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 1 of 2

# EXHIBIT B

# Case 2:13-cv-00460-PMW Document 2-2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 2 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	Jeket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEAT FACE OF	111010	1001.9					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Receiver				<b>DEFENDANTS</b> Jenniffer Welliver and John Does 1-5,					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Salt Lake</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Davis</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2 Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #1				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in G	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff			
□ 1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item 111)		en of Another State	of Business In .	Another State			
				en or Subject of a 🛛 🗇	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation				
<b>IV. NATURE OF SUIT</b>					TEL NUZZE UDWORK	OTHER STATUTES			
CONTRACT				ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158				
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul> <b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> <li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	110 Insurance       PERSONAL INJURY       PERSONAL INJURY         120 Marine       310 Airplane       365 Personal Injury         130 Miller Act       315 Airplane Product       Product Liability         140 Negotiable Instrument       315 Airplane Product       365 Personal Injury         150 Recovery of Overpayment       320 Assault, Libel &       Pharmaceutical         151 Medicare Act       330 Federal Employers'       Product Liability         152 Recovery of Defaulted       330 Federal Employers'       Product Liability         153 Recovery of Overpayment       340 Marine       Injury Product         153 Recovery of Overpayment       355 Motor Vehicle       370 Other Fraud         160 Stockholders' Suits       355 Motor Vehicle       370 Other Personal         190 Other Contract       360 Other Personal       Property Damag         195 Contract Product Liability       360 Other Personal       Property Damag         196 Franchise       360 Other Personal       Property Damag         192 Other Conduct       440 Other Civil Rights       Habeas Corpus:         220 Foreclosure       441 Voting       463 Alien Detainee         230 Rent Lease & Ejectment       442 Employment       510 Motions to Vac         244 Torty roduct Liability       Accommodations       530 General </td <td>TY 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 1 5 0 7 1 5 0 7</td> <td>25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other <b>LABOR</b> 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 51 Employee Retirement Income Security Act <b>IMMIGRATION</b> 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions</td> <td colspan="3"><ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul></td>		TY 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 1 5 0 7 1 5 0 7	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other <b>LABOR</b> 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 51 Employee Retirement Income Security Act <b>IMMIGRATION</b> 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations</li> <li>480 Consumer Credit</li> <li>490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange</li> <li>890 Other Statutory Actions</li> <li>891 Agricultural Acts</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</li> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i		Confinement							
🕱 1 Original 🗖 2 Re	moved from 3 ate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court		nstated or D 5 Transfe pened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 28 U.S.C. § 754 Brief description of c	ause	om Defe		of the receivership esta				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		<b>DEMAND \$</b> 37,743.15	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: : □ Yes 🕱 No			
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions)	JUDGE Jenkins	_		DOCKET NUMBER 2:	12-cv-00591			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		ale.	2						
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE			