Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050)

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685

Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373

Email: hunt.peggy@dorsey.com
martinez.chris@dorsey.com
armington.jeff@dorsey.com

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v. SCOTT EVANS, JOYCE EVANS and JOHN DOES 1-5,	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591) Civil No
Defendants.	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "NNU"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("Palmer"), in the case styled as Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against Scott Evans ("Scott"), Joyce Evans ("Joyce") and John Does 1-5 ("Defendant Does" and together with Scott and Joyce, "Defendant"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors. Upon information and belief, Defendant was an NNU investor who received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

- 2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "Receivership Order"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . ."³
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Scott is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Utah.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Joyce is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Utah.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Scott or Joyce has transferred monies or property received from NNU.

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

- 9. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.
- 10. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "NNU."
- 11. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "Investor Account").
- 12. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.
 - 13. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

Defendant's Investment and the Transfers

14. On or about 2007, Defendant commenced investing with NNU. A history of Defendant's investment(s) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 15. Defendant paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$100,000.00 on or about 2007 (the "Principal Cash Investment").
- 16. As set forth on Exhibit A, NNU transferred a total of \$111,880.82 in cash to Defendant (the "Total Transfers").
- 17. Of the Total Transfers, \$11,880.82 is an amount that is over and above

 Defendant's Principal Cash Investment (the "False Profit Transfers") (collectively, the Total

 Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "Transfers").4

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

- 18. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵
- 19. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National

⁴ See Exh. A.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

20. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

- 21. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 22. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 23. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 24. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 25. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Defendant incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.
- 26. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.
 - 27. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably

⁷ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

- 28. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 29. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 30. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 31. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 32. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.
- 33. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.
- 34. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.
- 35. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

36. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the

preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

- 37. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.
- 38. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme
- 39. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred.
- 40. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.
- 41. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.
- 42. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.
- 43. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

- 44. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 45. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 46. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.
 - 47. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

- 48. An injustice would result if Defendant was allowed to keep the Transfers.
- 49. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendant, or in the alternative if Defendant acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

- 50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 51. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 52. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendant.
 - 53. The Defendant knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.
- 54. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.
- 55. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendant's unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.
- 56. Defendant must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

- 57. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
 - 58. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

- 59. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendant.
- 60. Defendant has no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.
- 61. All Transfers made to Defendant, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$111,880.82, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,880.82.
- B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$111,880.82, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,880.82.
- C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$111,880.82, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,880.82.
- D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant for

unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of

\$111,880.82, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of

\$11,880.82.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring

Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$111,880.82, or alternatively, the

amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$11,880.82.

G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable

attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 18th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington

Attorneys for Receiver

EXHIBIT A

12.000 12.000

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088

801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Acct closed:

Evans SE07-0420-PY NNU

Printed 02/12/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Orig bal:

0.00

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

150.00

Int rate:

Evans, Scott 2645 Hillside Pines Circle Salt Lake City, UT 84109

Regular pmt:

Additional information

Scott & Joyce Evans # 533 Joyce Evans 1142 East Murray Holladay Road Salt Lake City UT 84117

09/01/08

rtegulai pii		150.00	int rate.	12.000 12.000	ACCI CIOSE	u: 09/01/06	Orig bai:		0.00
Escrow pmt:		0.00	Int calc:	Fixed			Orig date:		04/20/07
Service fee) :	0.00	Pmt type: 1099	T USRule 365 M			Maturity:		05/01/09
Total pmt:		150.00	NSF fee:	25.00					
Ord/Day/LF		3.00	Reminder:	05/01/09					
Total if late):	153.00	MATURITY DATE						
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	ent/Balan Late	ce Svc
04/20/07		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/20/07	Р	-100000.00	-100000.00 845	0.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/07	06/01/07 R	1380.82	0.00 22856	1000.00 380.82 AI	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/07	Р	0.00	0.00 22856	380.82	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/07	07/01/07 R	1000.00	0.00 23329	1000.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/07	08/01/07 R	1000.00	0.00 23812	1000.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/07	09/01/07 R	1000.00	0.00 24297	1000.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/07	10/01/07 R	1000.00	0.00 24793	1000.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/07	11/01/07 R	1000.00	0.00 25290	1000.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/07	Р	35000.00	35000.00 WT110107	0.00	0.00	65000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/07	12/01/07 R	650.00	0.00 25797	650.00	0.00	65000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	42030.82	-65000.00	7030.82	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pai	id Out:						0.00		
01/01/08	01/01/08 R	650.00	0.00 26315	650.00	0.00	65000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/08	02/01/08 R	650.00	0.00 26829	650.00	0.00	65000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/08	03/01/08 R	650.00	0.00 27355	650.00	0.00	65000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/08	04/01/08 R	650.00	0.00 27891	650.00	0.00	65000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/08	05/01/08 R	650.00	0.00 28433	650.00	0.00	65000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/08	06/01/08 R	15650.00	0.00 28980	650.00	0.00	65000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

National Note of Utah, LC 1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Evans SE07-0420-PY NNU

Page 2

Printed 02/12/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

				_			Payment/Balance		
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Sve
06/01/08	P	0.00	15000.00 28980	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	07/01/08 R	20500.00	0.00 29533	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	P	0.00	20000.00 29533	0.00	0.00	30000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/08	08/01/08 R	15300.00	0.00 30088	300.00	0.00	30000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/08	Р	0.00	15000.00 30088	0.00	0.00	15000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	09/01/08 R	15150.00	0.00 30639	150.00	0.00	15000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	P	0.00	15000.00 30639	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	69850.00	65000.00	4850.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
Report Total	al:	111880.82	0.00	11880.82	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pai	id Out:						0.00		
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 11880.82

EXHIBIT B

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	conce prices: (BEZ INSTRUC	THOME ON THEM I MODE	27 711107 0						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Receiver				DEFENDANTS Scott Evans, Joyc		nd John Does	1-5,		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Salt Lake (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Salt Lake (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #		•		Attorneys (If Known))				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES			
U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government Not a Party)					TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		r Defenda PTF 4	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizo	en of Another State] 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	5
				en or Subject of a Creign Country	3 0 3	Foreign Nation		6	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						·			
☐ 110 Insurance☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -	Y 🗇 62	ORFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881		KRUPTCY al 28 USC 158 Irawal	OTHER S 375 False Cla 400 State Re	aims Act	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ □ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability □ 151 Medicare Act			O Other		SC 157	☐ 410 Antitrus ☐ 430 Banks ar ☐ 450 Commer ☐ 460 Deportat	nd Bankin ce	g
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans					☐ 830 Paten ☐ 840 Trade	t mark	☐ 470 Racketee Corrupt (☐ 480 Consume	er Influend Organizat er Credit	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	Į.	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management	☐ 861 HIA (☐ 862 Black		☐ 490 Cable/Sa ☐ 850 Securitie Exchang ☐ 890 Other St	es/Commo ge	
■ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage	□ 74	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (Title XVI	☐ 891 Agriculta ☐ 893 Environs ☐ 895 Freedom	ural Acts mental Ma	atters
REAL PROPERTY	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	Product Liability PRISONER PETITION		Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation		IL TAX SUITS	Act ☐ 896 Arbitrati ☐ 899 Adminis		4
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	J 19	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		(U.S. Plaintiff	1	iew or Ap	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	=	moone occarry not	or Do	efendant)	Agency I 50 950 Constitut State State	Decision tionality o	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights		IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	n		į		
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		round					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in ▼ 1 Original □ 2 Ren	••	Remanded from	□ 4 Rein	stated or	erred from	□ 6 Multidistri	ict		
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened Anothe (specify	er District	Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 28 U.S.C. § 754 Brief description of ca	use:		o not cite jurisdictional sta					
VII. REQUESTED IN		alue of transfers from IS A CLASS ACTION		ndant for the benefi		eivership estat HECK YES only i		complair	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		•	111,880.82		JRY DEMAND:	☐ Yes	ĭ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Jenkins			DOCKE	r NUMBER 2:1	2-cv-00591		
DATE 06/17/13 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	k kegord					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE_		MAG. JUD	OGE		