Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050) **DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685 Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373 Email: <u>hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</u> <u>martinez.chris@dorsey.com</u> <u>armington.jeff@dorsey.com</u>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
------------------------------	--

v.

Plaintiff,

JEFF BACLET, ADB ENTERPRISES, LLC, and JOHN DOES 1-5.

COMPLAINT

(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591)

Civil No.

Defendants.

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "<u>Receiver</u>" or "<u>Plaintiff</u>") of

National Note of Utah, LC ("<u>National Note</u>"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless

otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as

"<u>NNU</u>"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("<u>Palmer</u>"), in the case styled as Securities and

Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah)

(Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against Jeff Baclet

("Baclet"), ADB Enterprises, LLC ("ADB"), and John Does 1-5 ("Defendant Does" and

together with Baclet and ADB, "Defendant"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors.¹ Upon information and belief, Defendant was an NNU investor who received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "<u>Receivership Order</u>"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer...."³

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Baclet is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Nevada.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant ADB is a limited liability company with its principal place of business in the State of Nevada.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Baclet or ADB has transferred monies or property received from NNU.

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

9. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.

10. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "<u>NNU</u>."

11. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "<u>Investor Account</u>").

12. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.

13. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

Defendant's Investment and the Transfers

14. On or about 2006, Defendant commenced investing with NNU. A history of Defendant's investment(s) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Case 2:13-cv-00477-RJS Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 4 of 10

15. Defendant paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$50,000.00 on or about 2006 (the "<u>Principal Cash Investment</u>").

16. As set forth on <u>Exhibit A</u>, NNU transferred a total of \$55,221.92 in cash to Defendant (the "<u>Total Transfers</u>").

17. Of the Total Transfers, \$5,221.92 is an amount that is over and above Defendant's Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "<u>Transfers</u>").⁴

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

18. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵

19. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National

⁴ See Exh. A.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

⁶ Id., Docket No. 7.

Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

20. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

21. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

22. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

23. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

24. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

25. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Defendant incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.

26. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

27. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably

⁷ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

28. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

29. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.

30. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

31. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.

32. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.

33. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.

34. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

35. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

36. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the

preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

37. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.

38. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme

39. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the

obligation to Defendant was incurred.

40. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.

41. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.

42. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

43. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

44. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

45. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

46. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

47. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.

48. An injustice would result if Defendant was allowed to keep the Transfers.

49. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendant, or in the alternative if Defendant acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

51. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.

52. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendant.

53. The Defendant knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

54. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

55. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by

Defendant's unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

56. Defendant must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

57. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

58. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.

59. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendant.

60. Defendant has no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.

61. All Transfers made to Defendant, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendant as follows:

A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$55,221.92, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$5,221.92.

B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$55,221.92, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$5,221.92.

C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$55,221.92, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$5,221.92.

D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.

Case 2:13-cv-00477-RJS Document 2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 10 of 10

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$55,221.92, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$5,221.92.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$55,221.92, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$5,221.92.

G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
 DATED this 18th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

<u>/s/ Peggy Hunt</u> Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington *Attorneys for Receiver* Case 2:13-cv-00477-RJS Document 2-1 Filed 06/17/13 Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT A

Case 2:13-cv-00477-RJS Document 2-1 Filed 06/17/13 Page 2 of 3

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Reference

Investment Pay History

Baclet AE06-0214-PY NNU Printed 02/12/13 Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

ADB Enterprises, LLC Baclet, Jeff 1655 Hunter Lake Drive Reno, NV 89509 Additional information

ADB Enterprises, Inc. # 323

Vance Coan

— Regular p	mt.	500.00		42.000 42.000	A 4 - 1 1				
Escrow p		0.00	Int rate:	12.000 12.000	Acct closed:	01/01/07	Orig bal:		0.00
Service fe			Int calc:	Fixed			Orig date:		02/14/06
		0.00	Pmt type:	T USRule 365 M			Maturity:		02/01/11
Total pmt:		500.00	NSF fee:	25.00					
Ord/Day/L		10.00	Reminder:	02/01/11					
Total if lat	e:	510.00	MATURITY DATE				_		
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	nt/Balan Late	ceSvc
02/14/06		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/14/06	Р	-25000.00	-25000.00 WIRETRAN	0.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/17/06	Р	-25000.00	-25000.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			WIRETRAN	24.66 AI					0.00
03/01/06	03/01/06 R	221.92	0.00 16443	221.92	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/06	04/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 16779	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/06	05/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 17137	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/06	06/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 17516	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/06	07/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 17892	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/06	08/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 18287	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/06	09/01/06 R	500.00		500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/06	10/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 19099	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/06	11/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 19523	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/06	12/01/06 R	500.00	0.00 19949	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	tal:	4721.92	-50000.00	4721,92	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00	2.00	0.00
01/01/07	01/01/07 R	50500.00	0.00 3624	500.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
01/01/07	Y	0.00	50000.00 3624	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	50500.00	50000.00	500.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
								0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa							0.00		

Reference

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Baclet AE06-0214-	PY NNU	Page 2				
Printed 02/12/13	Period of 01/	01/90 - 12/31/12				

Pay Date D)ue/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	ent/Balance - Late	Svc
Report Total:	<u> </u>	55221.92	0.00	5221.92	0.00	· · ·	0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Paid C	Dut:						0.00		
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 5221.92

Case 2:13-cv-00477-RJS Document 2-2 Filed 06/17/13 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Reco	elver	······		DEFENDANTS Jeff Baclet, ADB E	S Enterprises, LLC and Jol	hn Does 1-5,		
(b) County of Residence of (E	f First Listed Plaintiff <u>S</u> XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	Salt Lake ASES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>State of Nevada</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #				Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	$\mathbf{\tilde{s}}$ (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF D 1 D 1 Incorporated or F of Business In	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place		
2 U.S. Government Defendant					2 2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place D 5 D 5 A Another State		
				n or Subject of a 🛛 🗇	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)		Jigh Country				
CONTRACT	1	DRTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY			
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 	 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 	PERSONAL INJURY Description De	□ 690 TY □ 710	LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 	 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 450 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 		
No. 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 	0 751 790 791	Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure 		
 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	 □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 520 Sentenber Ite		Income Security Act	 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	 G H9 Antel. w/Disabilities - Employment G 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other G 448 Education 	 □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement 	☐ 462 ☐ 465	IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,1		
	te Court	Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope	ned Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca	tute under which you are use: alue of transfers fron	· · · ·		utes unless diversity): of the receivership esta	ite.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$ 5,221.92		if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(Cas instructions).	JUDGE Jenkins			DOCKET NUMBER 2:"	12-cv-00591		
DATE 06/17-113 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	AND OF	RECORD				
	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE		