Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050)

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685

Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373

Email: hunt.peggy@dorsey.com
martinez.chris@dorsey.com
armington.jeff@dorsey.com

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff, v.	COMPLAINT
M & M ANDREASEN INVESTMENTS, INC. a Utah limited liability company, MAX ANDREASEN, a Utah resident, and JOHN DOES 1 through 5,	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591) Civil No
Defendants.	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "NNU"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("Palmer"), in the case styled as Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against M & M Andreasen Investments, Inc., Max Andreasen (collectively, "Andreasen"), and John Does 1-5

(collectively referred to herein as the "Defendants"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors. Upon information and belief, Andreasen was an NNU investor who received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendants for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

- 2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "Receivership Order"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . ."³
- 3. Upon information and belief, M&M Andreasen Investments, Inc. is a Utah limited liability company.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Max Andreasen is a resident of or is domiciled in the State of Utah.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Andreasen has

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

transferred monies or property received from NNU.

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

- 9. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.
- 10. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "NNU."
- 11. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "Investor Account").
- 12. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.
 - 13. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

Defendant's Investment and the Transfers

14. On or about 2007, Andreasen commenced investing with NNU. A history of

Andreasen's investment(s) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 15. Andreasen paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$150,000 on or about 2007 and 2008 (the "Principal Cash Investment").
- 16. As set forth on Exhibit A, NNU transferred a total of \$199,636.99 in cash to Andreasen (the "Total Transfers").
- 17. Of the Total Transfers, \$49,636.99 is an amount that is over and above Defendant's Principal Cash Investment (the "False Profit Transfers") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "Transfers").

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

- 18. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵
- 19. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the

⁴ See Exh. A.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

20. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

- 21. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 22. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 23. NNU made the Transfers to Andreasen in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 24. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 25. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Andreasen were incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.
- 26. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Andreasen, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the

⁶ *Id.*, Docket No. 7.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

Defendant Does.

27. Alternatively, to the extent that Andreasen took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Andreasen, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

- 28. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 29. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 30. NNU made the Transfers to Andreasen in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 31. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 32. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Andreasen were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.
- 33. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.
- 34. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Andreasen, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

35. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Andreasen, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

- 36. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
 - 37. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.
 - 38. NNU made the Transfers to Andreasen in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme
- 39. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Andreasen was incurred.
- 40. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Andreasen was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.
- 41. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.
- 42. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Andreasen, or in the event such Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.
- 43. Alternatively, to the extent that Andreasen took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Andreasen, or in the event such False Profit Transfers were transferred, from the Defendant Does.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

- 44. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 45. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 46. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.
 - 47. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.
 - 48. An injustice would result if Defendants were allowed to keep the Transfers.
- 49. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendants, or in the alternative if Andreasen acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unjust Enrichment)

- 50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 51. The Transfers to Defendants were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 52. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendants.
 - 53. The Defendants knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.
- 54. Allowing Defendants to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendants and would be inequitable.

- 55. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendants' unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.
- 56. Defendants must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Andreasen acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

- 57. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
 - 58. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 59. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendants.
- 60. Defendants have no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.
- 61. All Transfers made to Defendants, or if Andreasen acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$199,636.99, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$49,636.99.
- B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting

Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$199,636.99, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$49,636.99.

- C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$199,636.99, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$49,636.99.
- D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.
- E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendants for unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$199,636.99, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$49,636.99.
- F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring Defendants to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$199,636.99, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$49,636.99.
- G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

/

/

/

For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. H.

DATED this 14th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt
Peggy Hunt
Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington Attorneys for Receiver

EXHIBIT A

National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com **Investment Pay History**

Reference

Andreasen MA07-0606-PY NNU

Printed 02/07/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

M & M Andreasen Investments, LLC Andreasen, Max 1007 North Ash Drive Layton, UT 84040 Additional information

M & M Andreasen Investments, LLC # 553

0.00 06/06/07 06/01/09		Orig bal: Orig date: Maturity:	03/23/10	Acct closed:	12.000 12.000 Fixed T USRule 365 M	Int rate: Int calc: Pmt type: 1099 [:]	1500.00 0.00 0.00	nt:	Regular pr Escrow pr Service fe
					25.00 06/01/09	NSF fee: Reminder: MATURITY DATE	1500.00 30.00 1530.00		Total pmt: Ord/Day/LI Total if late
	ent/Bala Late	Payme	Basis	DiscEarn	Income	RetCap/Ref	Amount	Due/Type	Pay Date
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		06/06/07
	0.00	0.00	100000.00		0.00	-100000.00 102	-100000.00	P	06/06/07
0 0.00	0.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00 1	821.92	0.00 23137	821.92	07/01/07 R	07/01/07
0 0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0000	0.00 1	1000.00	0.00 23620	1000.00	08/01/07 R	08/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	0.00 427.40 Al	-50000.00 112	-50000.00	Р	08/14/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1000.00 315.07 Al	0.00 24101	1315.07	09/01/07 R	09/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	315.07	0.00 24101	0.00	Р	09/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 24591	1500.00	10/01/07 R	10/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 25095	1500.00	11/01/07 R	11/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 25596	1500.00	12/01/07 R	12/01/07
0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	7636.99	-150000.00	7636.99	al:	Annual Tot
		0.00						id Out:	Escrow Pa
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 26112	1500.00	01/01/08 R	01/01/08
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 26627	1500.00	02/01/08 R	02/01/08
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 27144	1500.00	03/01/08 R	03/01/08
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 27675	1500.00	04/01/08 R	04/01/08
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 28217	1500.00	05/01/08 R	05/01/08
0.00	0.00	0.00	50000.00	0.00 1	1500.00	0.00 28759	1500.00	06/01/08 R	06/01/08

National Note of Utah, LC 1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Andreasen MA07-0606-PY NNU

Page 2

Printed 02/07/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme	ent/Balance - Late	Svc
07/01/08	07/01/08 R	1500.00	0.00	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00		
07/01/08	07/01/06 K		29310	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/08	08/01/08 R	1500.00	0.00 29869	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	09/01/08 R	1500.00	0.00 30417	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/08	10/01/08 R	1500.00	0.00 30972	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/08	11/01/08 R	1500.00	0.00 31538	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/08	12/01/08 R	1500.00	0.00 32099	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	tal:	18000.00	0.00	18000.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
01/01/09	01/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 32666	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/09	02/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 33237	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/09	03/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 33810	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/09	04/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 34382	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/09	05/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 34970	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/09	06/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 35556	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/09	07/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 36148	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/09	08/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 36745	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/09	09/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 37342	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/09	10/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 37939	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/09	11/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 38543	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/09	12/01/09 R	1500.00	0.00 39150	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Total	 al:	18000.00	0.00	18000.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pai	id Out:		•				0.00		
01/01/10	01/01/10 R	1500.00	0.00 39764	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/10	02/01/10 R	1500.00	0.00 40388	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/10	03/01/10 R	1500.00	0.00 41019	1500.00	0.00	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/23/10	Υ	151500.00	150415.07 WT032310	1084.93	0.00	-415.07	0.00	0.00	0.00

National Note of Utah, LC 1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Andreasen MA07-0606-PY NNU

Page 3

Printed 02/07/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

				-			Payment/Balance		
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Escrow	Late	Svc
Annual Tot	al:	156000.00	150415.07	5584.93	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
Report Total	al:	199636.99	415.07	49221.92	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
Balances:						-415.07	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 49221.92

EXHIBIT B

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of miniating the erri a	(
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Rece	iver			DEFENDANTS M & M Andreasen 1-5,	Investments, Inc., Max /	Andreasen and John Does				
(b) County of Residence of (E)	First Listed Plaintiff Security U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	alt Lake SES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Davis (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	A. I. L. and A. W. Lands and Marish on	1		Attorneys (If Known)						
Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #										
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box (Inly)		TIZENSHIP OF F (For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U _i S. Government N	lot a Party)		P	TF DEF I Incorporated or P of Business In					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	n of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	7 2					
				en or Subject of a C reign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT] Fe	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES				
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	20 Marine		□ 69	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations				
 □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits ⋈ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise 	S40 Marine	□ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal □ Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage □ Product Liability		340 Marine		LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	■ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ■ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes				
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	☐ \$30 General ☐ \$35 Death Penalty Other: ☐ \$40 Mandamus & Oth ☐ \$56 Civil Rights ☐ \$55 Prison Condition ☐ \$60 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	ner 🗆 4	IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	on I					
	emoved from	Appellate Court		pened Anoth (specij	ner District Litigatio					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON River description of ca	nce.		Do not cite jurisdictional st endant for the bene	fit of the receivership est	ate.				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R. Cv.P.				DEMAND \$ 37,743.15	CHECK YES onl JURY DEMANI	y if demanded in complaint:				
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions)	JUDGE Jenkins			DOCKET NUMBER 2	:12-cv-00591				
DATE 6/14113		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
FÖR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG JU	UDGE				