Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050)

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685

Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373

Email: <a href="mailto:hunt.peggy@dorsey.com">hunt.peggy@dorsey.com</a>
<a href="mailto:martinez.chris@dorsey.com">martinez.chris@dorsey.com</a>
<a href="mailto:armington.jeff@dorsey.com">armington.jeff@dorsey.com</a>

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v.  BARBARA R. HOAGLIN CHILDS TRUST, BARBARA R. HOAGLIN, and JOHN DOES 1-5,	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591)  Civil No
Defendants.	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "NNU"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("Palmer"), in the case styled as Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against Barbara R. Hoaglin Childs Trust ("Trust") and Barbara R. Hoaglin ("Hoaglin"), and John Does 1-5 ("Defendant Does" and together with Hoaglin and Trust, "Defendant"), and states, alleges and

avers as follows:

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors. Upon information and belief, Defendant was an NNU investor who received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "Receivership Order"),<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . ."<sup>3</sup>
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Trust is formed under the laws of Florida.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hoaglin is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Florida.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Trust or Hoaglin has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

transferred monies or property received from NNU.

#### **JURSIDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

#### **FACTS**

#### The Ponzi Scheme

- 9. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.
- 10. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "NNU."
- 11. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "Investor Account").
- 12. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.
  - 13. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

#### **Defendant's Investment and the Transfers**

14. On or about 2007, Defendant commenced investing with NNU. A history of

Defendant's investment(s) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 15. Defendant paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$25,000.00 on or about 2007 (the "Principal Cash Investment").
- 16. As set forth on Exhibit A, NNU transferred a total of \$31,269.17 in cash to Defendant (the "Total Transfers").
- 17. Of the Total Transfers, \$6,269.17 is an amount that is over and above Defendant's Principal Cash Investment (the "<u>False Profit Transfers</u>") (collectively, the Total Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "Transfers").

#### The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

- 18. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.<sup>5</sup>
- 19. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Exh.A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

defendants<sup>6</sup> and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.<sup>7</sup> Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.<sup>8</sup>

20. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.<sup>9</sup>

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

- 21. The Receiver realleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 22. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
  - 23. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
  - 24. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 25. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Defendant incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.
- 26. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id.*. Docket No. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

27. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

- 28. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 29. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
  - 30. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
  - 31. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 32. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.
- 33. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.
- 34. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.
- 35. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

- 36. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
  - 37. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.
  - 38. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme
- 39. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred.
- 40. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.
- 41. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.
- 42. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.
- 43. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

#### **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Constructive Trust)

- 44. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 45. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 46. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

- 47. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.
- 48. An injustice would result if Defendant was allowed to keep the Transfers.
- 49. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendant, or in the alternative if Defendant acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

#### **FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(*Unjust Enrichment*)

- 50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 51. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
  - 52. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendant.
  - 53. The Defendant knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.
- 54. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.
- 55. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendant's unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.
- 56. Defendant must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

#### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

57. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

- 58. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.
- 59. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendant.
- 60. Defendant has no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.
- 61. All Transfers made to Defendant, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$31,269.17, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$6,269.17.
- B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$31,269.17, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$6,269.17.
- C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$31,269.17, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$6,269.17.
- D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or

alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant for

unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of

\$31,269.17, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of

\$6,269.17.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring

Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$31,269.17, or alternatively, the

amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$6,269.17.

G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable

attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2013.

**DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP** 

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington

Attorneys for Receiver

# **EXHIBIT** A

#### National Note of Utah, LC

1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

### **Investment Pay History**

#### Reference

Hoaglin BH07-1012-PY NNU

Printed 02/12/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

Barbara R. Hoaglin Childs Trust Hoaglin, Barbara R. PO Box 148

Welaka, FL 32193

Additional information

Barbara R. Hoaglin # 606

Barbara PO Box 847 Lake City CO 81235

H: 970 944-5229

Regular pr Escrow pr Service fee Total pmt: Ord/Day/Ll Total if late	nt: e: Fee: 1/15	750.00 0.00 0.00 750.00 15.00 765.00	Int rate: Int calc: Pmt type: 1099 NSF fee: Reminder: MATURITY DATE	12.000 12.000 Fixed T USRule 365 Q 25.00 10/01/09	Acct closed:	11/13/09	Orig bal: Orig date: Maturity:		0.00 10/12/07 10/01/09
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	nt/Balan Late	ce Svc
10/12/07		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/12/07	Р	-25000.00	-25000.00 1007	0.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Total: Escrow Paid Out:		0.00	-25000.00	0.00	0.00		0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
01/01/08	01/01/08 R	665.75	0.00 26377	665.75	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/08	04/01/08 R	750.00	0.00 27955	750.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	07/01/08 R	750.00	0.00 29598	750.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/08	10/01/08 R	750.00	0.00 31260	750.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Total:		2915.75	0.00	2915.75	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
01/01/09	01/01/09 R	750.00	0.00 32957	750.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/09	04/01/09 R	750.00	0.00 34676	750.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/09	07/01/09 R	750.00	0.00 36451	750.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/09	10/01/09 R	750.00	0.00 38245	750.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/13/09	Р	25353.42	25000.00 4897	353.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Total: 2		28353.42	25000.00	3353.42	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:		·				0.00		
Report Total		31269.17	0.00	6269.17	0.00		0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 6269.17

# **EXHIBIT B**

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of findading the offit at	Select Silect. (BEE INSTITUTE)	10110 011 111211 11101 01							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Receiver				DEFENDANTS Barbara R. Hoaglin Childs Trust, Barbara R. Hoaglin and John Does 1-5,					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Salt Lake (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant State of Florida  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #1000, SLC, UT 84101; 801-933-7360				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  U.S. Government Not a Party)				For Diversity Cases Only) PT on of This State		Incorporated or Pri		PTF  1 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant				zen of Another State				<b>□</b> 5	<b>□</b> 5
American State of the State of			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 Foreign Country					6 	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			I ro	DEFERRING DENIAL TV	DAX	WIZE LINEON	OTHER	CT A TEN	ree 1
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	7	PREITURE/PENALTY  5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881  0 Other  LABOR  0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 App □ 423 With 28 U  PROPE □ 820 Cop: □ 830 Pate □ 840 Trad □ 861 HIA □ 862 Blac □ 863 DIW □ 865 RSI □ 867 Taxe or E □ 871 TRS-	3422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   840 Trademark   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 HIA (1395ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   865 RSI (405(g))   870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		OTHERSTATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S.C. § 754 Brief description of ca Recovery of the v  CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	Appellate Court tute under which you are use: alue of transfers fror IS A CLASS ACTION	Reop	stated or 5 Transfe bened Anothe (specify)  On not cite jurisdictional state  Indant for the benefit  EMAND \$  31,269.17	r District utes unless d  of the rec		te. if demanded in □ Yes	compla <b>⊠</b> No	
			DNU	DE BECORD	DOCKI	EI NUMBER Z.I	2 07 00001		
Jun 12 2013		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ROME	DE RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AOLINIT	ADDI VING IED		ILIDGE		MAG. IUI	OGF		