Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060) Chris Martinez (Utah State Bar No. 11152) Jeffrey M. Armington (Utah State Bar No. 14050)

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

136 South Main Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685

Telephone: (801) 933-7360 Facsimile: (801) 933-7373

Email: hunt.peggy@dorsey.com
martinez.chris@dorsey.com
armington.jeff@dorsey.com

Attorneys for Court-Appointed Receiver R. Wayne Klein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

R. WAYNE KLEIN, as Receiver,	
Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
v. LEI CHEN, SHAOWEI WAN, and JOHN DOES 1-5,	(Ancillary to Case No. 2:12-cv-00591) Civil No
Defendant.	

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver" or "Plaintiff") of National Note of Utah, LC ("National Note"), its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, unless otherwise stated, National Note and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities are referred to herein as "NNU"), and the assets of Wayne LaMar Palmer ("Palmer"), in the case styled as Securities and Exchange Commission v. National Note of Utah, LC et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00591 (D. Utah) (Jenkins, J.) (the "SEC Civil Enforcement Case"), hereby files this Complaint against Lei Chen ("Chen"), Shaowei Wan ("Wan"), and John Does 1-5 ("Defendant Does" and together with Chen and Wan, "Defendant"), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. NNU was operated as an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme through which money was solicited from investors. Upon information and belief, Defendant was an NNU investor who received monies from NNU, and the Receiver seeks to avoid the transfers and/or recover the value of the transfers from Defendant for the benefit of the receivership estate established in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case discussed in greater detail below.

PARTIES

- 2. Pursuant to an Order Appointing Receiver and Staying Litigation entered on June 25, 2012 in the SEC Civil Enforcement Case (the "Receivership Order"),² Plaintiff is the duly-appointed Receiver for National Note and Palmer "together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities of National Note and Palmer. . . ."³
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Chen is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Nevada.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Wan is a resident of or is domiciled in in the State of Nevada.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Does are currently unknown parties who have received monies or property from NNU, or are persons to whom Chen or Wan has

¹ See SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

² SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 9.

³ *Id.* (Receivership Order, pp. 1-2).

transferred monies or property received from NNU.

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 7. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant.
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 754.

FACTS

The Ponzi Scheme

- 9. Since at least 1994 until the commencement of the SEC Civil Enforcement Case, NNU raised capital by soliciting investors to purchase promissory notes, which typically promised to pay interest at a rate of interest above market rates.
- 10. Upon information and belief, investors understood that they were investing in an enterprise that, among other things, bought and sold mortgage notes, underwrote and made loans, or bought and sold real estate assets through National Note, or one of many affiliated entities subject to the Receivership Order, all of which are referred to herein collectively as "NNU."
- 11. Typically, investment funds were deposited in a commingled bank account controlled by NNU. NNU would then transfer such investor funds to another bank account (the "Investor Account").
- 12. Monies on deposit in the Investor Account were commingled, and transfers to investors by NNU were made from the commingled funds on deposit in that Investor Account.
 - 13. At all times relevant hereto, NNU was insolvent.

Defendant's Investment and the Transfers

14. On or about 2006, Defendant commenced investing with NNU. A history of

Defendant's investment(s) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 15. Defendant paid NNU cash in the total amount of \$60,000.00 on or about 2006 (the "Principal Cash Investment").
- 16. As set forth on Exhibit A, NNU transferred a total of \$81,769.32 in cash to Defendant (the "Total Transfers").
- 17. Of the Total Transfers, \$21,769.32 is an amount that is over and above

 Defendant's Principal Cash Investment (the "False Profit Transfers") (collectively, the Total

 Transfers and the False Profit Transfers are the "Transfers").4

The SEC Civil Case and the Receiver's Appointment

- 18. On June 25, 2012, the SEC Civil Enforcement Case was filed, alleging that NNU is a Ponzi scheme, and seeking, among other things, orders (a) restraining and enjoining NNU and Palmer from continuing to violate federal securities laws, (b) freezing assets and prohibiting NNU from transferring, changing, wasting, dissipating, converting, concealing, or otherwise disposing of assets, (c) prohibiting NNU from destroying, mutilating, concealing, transferring, altering, or otherwise disposing of NNU's books and records, (d) imposing civil money penalties against NNU and Palmer, and (e) requiring the disgorgement by NNU and Palmer of all ill-gotten gains received by them pursuant to the scheme.⁵
- 19. Also on June 25, 2012, as a result of the filing of the SEC Civil Enforcement Action, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause against the

⁴ See Exh.A.

⁵ SEC Civil Enforcement Case, Docket No. 1 (Complaint).

defendants⁶ and the Receivership Order appointing the Receiver.⁷ Since that time, both National Note and Palmer have stipulated to a Preliminary Injunction Order that prohibits National Note and Palmer from committing any further acts in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme and that prohibits National Note and Palmer from withdrawing, transferring, selling, buying, pledging, encumbering, assigning, dissipating, concealing, or otherwise disposing of any of their assets.⁸

20. On or about May 21, 2013, the Court entered an Order authorizing the Receiver to commence legal proceedings for the benefit of and on behalf of the receivership estate.⁹

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

- 21. The Receiver realleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 22. NNU was engaged in an enterprise with all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 23. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 24. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 25. The Transfers were made and any obligations to Defendant incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud a creditor of NNU.
- 26. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.

⁶ *Id.*. Docket No. 7.

⁷ *Id.*, Docket No. 9.

⁸ *Id.*, Docket Nos. 45 and 46.

⁹ *Id.*, Docket No. 315.

27. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

- 28. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 29. NNU was engaged in an enterprise that has all of the characteristics of a Ponzi scheme.
 - 30. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 31. At all relevant times hereto, NNU had at least one creditor.
- 32. The Transfers were made or the obligations to Defendant were incurred by NNU without receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or obligations.
- 33. At the time the Transfers were made, NNU (a) was engaged or was about to be engaged in a business or transaction for which the remaining assets of NNU were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts became due.
- 34. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.
- 35. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

- 36. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
 - 37. NNU was engaged in a Ponzi scheme.
 - 38. NNU made the Transfers to Defendant in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme
- 39. NNU had at least one creditor at the time that the Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred.
- 40. The Transfers were made or the obligation to Defendant was incurred by NNU without NNU receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfer or obligation.
- 41. NNU was insolvent at the time the Transfers were made or the obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligation incurred.
- 42. Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, the Receiver may avoid and recover the Transfers to Defendant.
- 43. Alternatively, to the extent that Defendant took in good faith and for a reasonably equivalent value, the Receiver may avoid and recover the False Profit Transfers from Defendant.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

- 44. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 45. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
- 46. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

- 47. The Transfers can be traced to wrongful behavior.
- 48. An injustice would result if Defendant was allowed to keep the Transfers.
- 49. A constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate must be imposed for the benefit of the receivership estate in the amount of the Transfers made by NNU to Defendant, or in the alternative if Defendant acted in good faith, for the False Profit Transfers.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(*Unjust Enrichment*)

- 50. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.
- 51. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of NNU and were made by NNU in furtherance of the Ponzi scheme.
 - 52. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendant.
 - 53. The Defendant knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.
- 54. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.
- 55. Absent return of the Transfers, the receivership estate will be damaged by Defendant's unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.
- 56. Defendant must disgorge the amount of the Transfers, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, for the benefit of the receivership estate.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Disgorgement)

57. The Receiver re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

- 58. The Transfers were made as part of and in furtherance of a Ponzi scheme.
- 59. The Transfers were ill-gotten by Defendant.
- 60. Defendant has no claim to the Transfers made by NNU, or derivatively, from NNU's investors.
- 61. All Transfers made to Defendant, or if Defendant acted in good faith, the False Profit Transfers, should be disgorged to the Receiver for the benefit of the receivership estate.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. Pursuant to the Receiver's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$81,769.32, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$21,769.32.
- B. Pursuant to the Receiver's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(a)(2) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$81,769.32, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$21,769.32.
- C. Pursuant to the Receiver's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8, and permitting Plaintiff's recovery of the value of the Transfers in the total amount of \$81,769.32, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$21,769.32.
- D. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the receivership estate on any and all Transfers, or

alternatively, all False Profit Transfers.

E. Pursuant to the Receiver's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant for

unjust enrichment, and requiring Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of

\$81,769.32, or alternatively, the amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of

\$21,769.32.

F. Pursuant to the Receiver's Sixth Claim for Relief, entry of an Order requiring

Defendant to disgorge the Transfers in the total amount of \$81,769.32, or alternatively, the

amount of the False Profit Transfers, in the total amount of \$21,769.32.

G. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable

attorney's fees, as may be allowed by law.

H. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 12th day of June, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt Chris Martinez Jeffrey M. Armington Attorneys for Receiver

EXHIBIT A

National Note of Utah, LC 1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com **Investment Pay History**

Reference

Chen SW06-0823-PY NNU

Printed 02/07/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Internal report: Do not mail to this address!

Chen, Lei 1635 Crater Court Reno, NV 89521 Additional information

Lei Chen & Shaowei Wan #423 Shaowei Wan

Regular p	mt:	600.00	Int rate:	12.000 12.000	Acct closed:	09/01/09	Orig bal:		0.00
Escrow pr	nt:	0.00	Int calc:	Fixed			Orig date:		08/23/06
Service fe	and the second second	0.00	Pmt type: 1099	T USRule 365 M			Maturity:		09/01/09
Total pmt:		600.00	NSF fee:	25.00					
Ord/Day/L		12.00	Reminder:	09/01/09					
Total if lat	e:	612.00	MATURITY DATE				_		
Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	nt/Balan Late	ce Svc
08/23/06		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/23/06	P	-60000.00	-60000.00 1083	0.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/06	10/01/06 R	769.32	0.00 19216	600.00 169.32 Al	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/06	P	0.00	0.00 19216	169.32	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/06	11/01/06 R	600.00	0.00 19638	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/06	12/01/06 R	600.00	0.00 20066	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual To	tal:	1969.32	-60000.00	1969.32	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
01/01/07	01/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 20503	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/07	02/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 20951	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/07	03/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 21405	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/07	04/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 21859	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/07	05/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 22330	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/07	06/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 22796	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/07	07/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 23267	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/07	08/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 23749	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/07	09/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 24235	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/07	10/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 24727	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/07	11/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 25227	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

National Note of Utah, LC 1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Chen SW06-0823-PY NNU

Page 2

Printed 02/07/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Payme Escrow	nt/Balance - Late	Svo
12/01/07	12/01/07 R	600.00	0.00 25735	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual To	tal:	7200.00	0.00	7200.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
01/01/08	01/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 26250	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/08	02/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 26766	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/08	03/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 27288	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/08	04/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 27823	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/08	05/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 28366	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/08	06/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 28914	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/08	07/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 29466	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/08	08/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 30026	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/08	09/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 30574	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10/01/08	10/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 31133	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11/01/08	11/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 31696	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12/01/08	12/01/08 R	600.00	0.00 32261	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	7200.00	0.00	7200.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:	:					0.00		
01/01/09	01/01/09 R	600.00	0.00 32827	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
02/01/09	02/01/09 R	600.00	0.00 33396	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
03/01/09	03/01/09 R	600.00	0.00 33968	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
04/01/09	04/01/09 R	600.00	0.00 34545	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
05/01/09	05/01/09 R	600.00	0.00 35132	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
06/01/09	06/01/09 R	600.00	0.00 35719	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
07/01/09	07/01/09 R	600.00	0.00 36317	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/01/09	08/01/09 R	600.00	0.00 36914	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/01/09	09/01/09 R	60600.00	0.00 4840	600.00	0.00	60000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

National Note of Utah, LC 1549 West 7800 South West Jordan, UT 84088 801-566-7337 Julie@nationalnote.com

Investment Pay History

Reference

Chen SW06-0823-PY NNU

Page 3

Printed 02/07/13

Period of 01/01/90 - 12/31/12

Pay Date	Due/Type	Amount	RetCap/Ref	Income	DiscEarn	Basis	Paym Escrow	ent/Balance - Late	Svo
09/01/09	P	0.00	60000.00 4840	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual Tot	al:	65400.00	60000.00	5400.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:		-				0.00		
Report Tot	al:	81769.32	0.00	21769.32	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrow Pa	id Out:						0.00		
Balances:						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Taxable: 21769.32

EXHIBIT B

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil at	ocket sheet. (bbb hashroe	110110 011 111311 1 11015 0		1011/					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS R. Wayne Klein, as Rece	iver		DEFENDANTS Lei Chen , Shaowe	ei Wan and J	ohn Does 1-	5,			
(b) County of Residence of (E)	First Listed Plaintiff S CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	alt Lake SES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant State of Nevada (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Peggy Hunt Dorsey & Whitney, LLP 136 South Main Street #1				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in G	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL	PARTIES (
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	▼ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State	1 🗇 1 Inc	corporated <i>or</i> Prir of Business In Th		PTF 4	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗇 2 Inc	corporated and Pr of Business In A		5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a preign Country	3 🗇 3 Fo	oreign Nation		☐ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					n naven	UDTCV	OTHER	er a retur	EC]
CONTRACT		RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY_	BANKR		OTHER S		EG
 □ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act 	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers'	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 2 ☐ 423 Withdraw 28 USC PROPERTY ☐ 820 Copyrigh ☐ 830 Patent	val 157 RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Re ☐ 410 Antitrus ☐ 430 Banks a ☐ 450 Comme ☐ 460 Deporta ☐ 470 Rackete	apportior t nd Bankii rce tion er Influer	ng nced and
 □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits ⋈ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise 	Liability 348 Asbestos Persona 340 Marine Injury Product Liability Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud Product Liability 380 Other Personal		RTY	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	□ 840 Tradema SOCIAL SE □ 861 HJA (139) □ 862 Black Lu □ 863 DIWC/D □ 864 SSID Tit □ 865 RSI (405)	CURITY 95ff) ing (923) iWW (405(g)) le XVI	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 955 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		91 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL'		☐ 899 Admini		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	e	Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	□ 870 Taxes (U or Defen □ 871 IRS—Th 26 USC	idant) ard Party			-
	moved from	Appellate Court	Rec	(specify)	r District	6 Multidistri Litigation	ict		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. § 754 Brief description of co	ause		Do not cite jurisdictional state and ant for the benefit	of the receiv	vership estat			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				DEMAND \$ 81,769.32		ECK YES only: Y DEMAND:	if demanded in Yes	complai M No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions);	JUDGE Jenkins	1		DOCKET I	NUMBER 2:1	2-cv-00591		
DATE (2, 2013		SIGNATURE OF	DORNEY	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG JUE	OGE		