

John F. Kurtz, Jr., ISB No. 2396  
HAWLEY TROXELL ENNIS & HAWLEY LLP  
877 Main Street, Suite 1000  
P.O. Box 1617  
Boise, ID 83701-1617  
Telephone: 208.344.6000  
Facsimile: 208.954.5232  
Email: jkurtz@hawleytroxell.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff R. WAYNE KLEIN, the  
Court-Appointed Receiver of Trigon Group, Inc.  
and for the assets of Daren L. Palmer

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF IDAHO

R. WAYNE KLEIN, the Court-Appointed  
Receiver of Trigon Group, Inc. and for the  
assets of Daren L. Palmer,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
vs.  
  
JANET ROCHEL BURTENSHAW a/k/a  
ROCHEL BURTENSHAW d/b/a ROCHEL  
BURTENSHAW DESIGN,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLAINT TO AVOID  
FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS, FOR  
CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST AND OTHER  
PROVISIONAL REMEDIES AND FOR  
DAMAGES

Plaintiff R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver (the "Receiver") of Trigon Group, Inc. ("Trigon") and all of the assets of Daren L. Palmer (the "Receivership Entities" and/or "Palmer"), by and through his undersigned counsel, for his Complaint states and alleges as follows:

**NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING**

1. On February 26, 2009, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") filed a Complaint against Trigon and Palmer in United States District Court for the District of  
COMPLAINT TO AVOID FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS, FOR  
CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST AND OTHER PROVISIONAL REMEDIES AND  
FOR DAMAGES - 1

Idaho (“District Court”), Case No. Civ. No. 09-075-S-EJL (“SEC Action”) and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the “CFTC”) filed a Complaint against Trigon and Palmer in the District Court, Case No. Civ. No. 09-075-S-EJL (“CFTC Action”). These suits allege, among other things, that Trigon and Palmer operated an investment program in violation of the registration, licensing and anti-fraud requirements of federal securities and commodities laws. In essence, the SEC and the CFTC allege that Trigon and Palmer engaged in a Ponzi scheme whereby millions of dollars were fraudulently taken from investors.

2. On February 26, 2009, the Receiver was appointed by the District Court to act as receiver in connection with the SEC Action and the CFTC Action.

3. Since at least 1997, Palmer and Trigon operated a classic Ponzi scheme by using funds obtained from investors through violations of the securities laws and/or commodity laws and using the funds from new investors to pay bogus returns to earlier investors. Palmer and Trigon recruited investors through material misrepresentations and omissions. By engaging in this conduct, Palmer and Trigon violated the securities registration, antifraud, and broker-dealer registration provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and violated the anti-fraud provisions of the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”) and the requirement under the CEA to register as a commodity pool operator.

4. This action is brought by the Receiver as part of his continuing duty to recapture and return funds invested in Trigon that were diverted by Trigon and Palmer in the course of their massive Ponzi scheme and to avoid fraudulent transfers, for constructive trust and other provisional remedies and for damages.

**THE PARTIES**

5. Defendant Janet Rochel Burtenshaw a/k/a RoChel Burtenshaw d/b/a RoChel Burtenshaw Design (“RoChel Burtenshaw”) is a resident of the State of Idaho.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this lawsuit because this lawsuit is ancillary to the SEC Action and the CFTC Action and the appointment of the Receiver by this Court.

7. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

**THE RECEIVER AND STANDING**

8. On February 26, 2009, the District Court entered in the SEC Action an Order Appointing a Receiver and Staying Litigation pursuant to which the Receiver was appointed as receiver of Trigon, together with any and all subsidiaries and affiliated entities, including but not limited to Palmer Trading & Investments LLC; Blackrock Limited, LLC; Pinnacle Company LLC; and Canterbury Court Properties, LLC (collectively, the “Companies”). Pursuant to that Order, the Receiver was to take control of the Companies’ funds, assets and property wherever situated, and is fully authorized to pursue this action against Defendant.

9. On February 26, 2009, the District Court entered an Order in the CFTC Action appointing the Receiver as temporary receiver for all of the assets of Palmer and Trigon and the assets of their affiliates and subsidiaries with the full power of an equity receiver. Pursuant to that Order, the Receiver was to assume full custody, control, and possession of all the funds, property, mail and other assets of, in the possession of, or under the control of Defendant and the Companies and is fully authorized to pursue this action against Defendant.

**THE FRAUDULENT PONZI SCHEME**

10. Trigon was a Nevada corporation headquartered in Idaho Falls, Idaho. Trigon claimed to be an investment business that specialized in helping clients generate high annual returns of approximately 20% to 25% per year.

11. Daren L. Palmer is an Idaho resident, who at all relevant times, was living in Idaho Falls, Idaho.

12. Trigon never registered any offering of its securities under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

13. Neither Trigon nor Palmer has ever been registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") in any capacity and neither of them has ever been licensed to sell securities.

14. Beginning in 1997 and continuing through at least October 2008, Trigon and Palmer sold securities in the form of promissory notes and investment contracts to over 55 investors in unregistered, non-exempt transactions amounting to over \$60 million. More particularly, Trigon and Palmer violated: (i) Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1) by employment of a device, scheme or artifice to defraud; (ii) Section 17(a)(2) and (c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2) by committing fraud in the offer and sale of securities; (iii) Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5 by committing fraud in connection with the purchase and sale of securities; (iv) Section 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77(a) and (c) by offering the sale of unregistered securities; and (v) Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(a) by offering and selling securities by an unregistered broker or dealer.

15. Beginning in 1997 and continuing through at least October 2008, Palmer engaged in acts and practices in violation of the Commodity Exchange Act. In particular, Palmer violated

the anti-fraud provisions of Sections 4b(a)(2) and 4o(1) of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6(b)(a)(2) and 6o(1) (2006), and Section 4b(a)(1) of the CEA as amended by the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-246, Title XIII (the CFTC Reauthorization Act, § 13102, 122 Stat. 1651 (effective June 18, 2008) to be codified at 7 U.S.C. § 6(b)(a)(1)). Palmer also acted as a commodity pool operator of a commodity pool without being registered as such in violation of Section 4m(l) of the CEA, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(l) (2006).

16. At all relevant times, Palmer was an agent of Trigon and acted within the scope of his employment. As a result, Trigon is liable for Palmer's conduct pursuant to Section 2(a)(1)(B) of the CEA, 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B).

17. Palmer marketed himself and Trigon by representing that he used a complex trading strategy through which he invested in indexes, S&P 500 options or futures, currency futures, and stocks in a way that generated consistent annual returns of 20% or better.

18. Palmer touted his reputation in the Idaho Falls community as an honest family man with a long record of producing high returns for investors. Palmer told some investors that he had been generating annual returns of 20% or greater for more than 12 years.

19. Palmer described his trading program as difficult to understand but one that operated like a hedge fund. Palmer explained that the investor's principal would be combined with those of other investors and traded as a single fund.

20. Palmer told some investors that he was licensed to sell securities when in fact he was never registered or licensed to do so.

21. Palmer guaranteed high returns using his strategy, regardless of market conditions, with no risk to investors' principal investments.

22. Palmer evidenced most of the investment monies he received with promissory notes that he signed as either an individual or as President of Trigon.

23. Palmer also entered into verbal investment contracts, which promised payments of 20% returns or greater.

24. Palmer told investors that he would retain a portion of the generated profits but actually paid himself a set amount of \$25,000 to \$35,000 per month.

25. Approximately \$6.8 million was deposited into trading accounts, representing only 10.07% of the total amount Palmer and Trigon received from investors. However, Palmer often withdrew portions of the amounts deposited with no trading ever taking place and the amounts withdrawn by Trigon from such trading activity exceeded the amounts obtained from trading activity.

26. Of the investor money deposited in Trigon accounts, Palmer spent more than \$6 million on his personal home expenses and construction costs.

27. Palmer also spent over \$6 million paying himself a salary and paying for personal expenses including credit cards, art, jewelry, vehicles, trailers, snowmobiles and for community donations. Palmer also used investor monies to charter private airplanes and for business expenses.

28. Despite attempts to maintain an image of success to attract additional investors, on December 15, 2008, Palmer told a group of concerned Idaho Falls investors that, through his trading program, he had lost virtually all of the invested funds.

29. In or around January of 2009, Palmer admitted to investors that he had extinguished all funds and had been running a Ponzi scheme for many years.

30. Although Palmer provided investors with statements showing trading profits, the payments made to investors actually came from the principal investments of later investors.

31. Later investors were not informed that Palmer would use their principal investment to pay returns to earlier investors and no investors were told Palmer would use their principal investments to make payments to himself or for real estate purchases and construction.

32. Palmer has admitted to using investor funds to pay his salary, personal credit cards and for the payment of his personal residence construction costs and to purchase snowmobiles.

33. Although Palmer collected at least \$68 million in investor funds, he used only a fraction of those amounts for trading purposes and used the vast majority to pay personal expenses and to pay phony returns to earlier investors.

34. Participants in the investment program invested over \$68 million with Palmer, more than \$46 million of which was used to pay phony returns.

35. The Ponzi scheme operated by Trigon and Palmer was conducted by Trigon and Palmer with the actual intent to defraud numerous investors.

#### **AMOUNTS RECEIVED BY DEFENDANT**

36. During the period from March 19, 2007 through August 19, 2008, Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw received payments from Trigon and Palmer in the sum of \$268,004.17. In particular, Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw received payments from Trigon and Palmer as follows:

- a. On March 19, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$1,165.50 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1346.
- b. On June 5, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$1,087.50 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1437.
- c. On July 26, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$1,812.00 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1496.

- d. On August 27, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$2,160.00 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1540.
- e. On October 24, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$4,235.51 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1607.
- f. On November 27, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$10,000.00 by a check drawn on the Palmer Construction Account at Citizens Community Bank as Check No. 113.
- g. On December 12, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$14,771.75 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1661.
- h. On December 12, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$10,000.00 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1662.
- i. On December 27, 2007, a payment in the amount of \$13,212.87 by a check drawn on the account of Trigon at Bank of America as Check No. 2396.
- j. On February 5, 2008, a payment in the amount of \$8,596.74 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1734.
- k. On March 12, 2008, a payment in the amount of \$12,031.50 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1784.
- l. On April 17, 2008, a payment in the amount of \$15,334.18 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1820.
- m. On April 17, 2008, a payment in the amount of \$5,450.00 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1821.
- n. On April 17, 2008, a payment in the amount of \$20,008.81 by a check drawn on the account of Palmer at Zions Bank as Check No. 1822.



- o. On May 22, 2008, a payment in the amount of \$48,617.29 by a check drawn on the account of Trigon at Bank of America as Check No. 2493.
- p. On June 10, 2008, a payment in the amount of \$40,000.00 by a check drawn on the account of Trigon at Bank of America as Check No. 2504.
- q. On August 19, 2008, a payment in the amount of \$59,520.52 by a check drawn on the account of Trigon at Bank of America as Check No. 2538.

37. On information and belief, Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw also received, at a point in time subsequent to December 23, 2008, a payment or payments totaling approximately \$80,000 from a third party or third parties in connection with a claim she asserted against Palmer or his property.

38. In addition to the payments described above, the Receiver alleges, based on knowledge and belief, that Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw received other amounts in excess of those amounts described above.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**(For Avoidance and Recovery of Fraudulent Transfers  
Against Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw)**

39. The Receiver restates and incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through 38 above, as though set forth herein in full.

40. The payments made by Trigon and Palmer to Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw during the period March 19, 2007 through August 19, 2008, which are more particularly described in Paragraph 36 above, were made by Trigon and Palmer with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud Trigon's investors. The payment or payments received by Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw from a third party in connection with a claim she asserted against Palmer or his property, which is described in Paragraph 37 above, resulted in a decrease in the assets of Palmer or Trigon and a corresponding diminution in the assets available to Trigon's investors. These

investors mostly consist of innocent investors who gave money to Trigon and Palmer believing the money was being invested profitably on their behalf. Those transfers were made without receiving reasonably equivalent value in exchange, when Trigon was either insolvent or had become insolvent as a result of such transfers.

41. The payments and transfers of assets made by Trigon and Palmer to Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw, as well as the payment or payments made to Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw by a third party in connection a claim she asserted against Palmer or his property, are avoidable by the Receiver under applicable law, including Idaho Code §§ 55-913, 55-914 and 55-916.

42. The Receiver is entitled to damages from Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw in the sum of not less than \$348,004.17, with interest as provided by Idaho law from the date of each payment, plus any additional amounts proven at the trial of this case.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**(For Constructive Trust and Other Provisional Remedies**  
**Against Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw)**

43. The Receiver restates and incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through 42 above, as though set forth herein in full.

44. By reason of the facts set forth above, Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw was the recipient of monies and assets wrongfully and fraudulently obtained by Trigon and Palmer, as well as the payment or payments made to Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw by a third party in connection a claim she asserted against Palmer or his property, thereby diminishing the amounts available to pay the creditors of Trigon and Palmer.

45. Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw has been unjustly enriched as a result of the wrongful and fraudulent acts to the detriment of the creditors of Trigon and Palmer.

46. Accordingly, in equity, a constructive trust should be impressed upon the assets acquired by Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw with the monies transferred to her by Trigon and

Palmer, as well as the payment or payments made to Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw by a third party in connection a claim she asserted against Palmer or his property.

47. The Receiver is also entitled to one or more of the additional remedies provided for pursuant to Idaho § 55-916 (b) and (c).

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

1. For judgment against Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw for an amount equal to all payments received by her and assets transferred to her from Trigon or Palmer and for all payments received by her in connection with a claim she asserted against Palmer or his property, which total in excess of \$348,004.17, plus interest on each payment at the rate of 12% per annum pursuant to Idaho Code §28-22-104(1) from the date of such payment until judgment is entered.
2. A judgment imposing a constructive trust in favor of the Receiver over all monies and assets obtained with those monies and assets that Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw received from Trigon or Palmer and over all those monies and assets that Defendant RoChel Burtenshaw received in connection with any claim she asserted against Palmer or his property.
3. For post judgment interest as allowed by Idaho law.
4. For costs of suit, including reasonable attorney fees.
5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED THIS 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2010.

HAWLEY TROXELL ENNIS & HAWLEY LLP

By /s/ John F. Kurtz, Jr.  
John F. Kurtz, Jr.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff R. WAYNE KLEIN, the  
Court-Appointed Receiver of Trigon Group,  
Inc. and for the assets of Daren L. Palmer