1... (\*\*:10 PH 2:23 DALT LAKE COUNTY SY DEPUTY CLERK

R. WAYNE KLEIN #3819 RECEIVER FOR FFCF, ASCENDUS, SMITH HOLDINGS 299 South Main, Suite 1300

Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Telephone (801) 534-4455 Facsimile (801) 961-4001 wklein@kleinutah.com

IN THE THIRD DISTRICT COURT, SALT LAKE COUNTY

STATE OF UTAH

TENTH REPORT OF

Case No. 080922273

Judge: Denise P. Lindberg

R. WAYNE KLEIN, RECEIVER (FOR

PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 10, 2010)

A. DAVID BARNES, M.D., P.C.,

Plaintiff.

FFCF INVESTORS, LLC, et al.

Defendants.

FFCF INVESTORS, LLC, Plaintiff,

RICHARD SMITH, et al.

Defendants.

R. Wayne Klein, the Court-Appointed Receiver of FFCF Investors, LLC, Ascendus

Capital Management, LLC, and Smith Holdings, LLC (the "Receivership Entities") submits this

Tenth Report of the Receivership, for the period September 14, 2010 through December 10,

2010.

VS.

VS.

### I. DEVELOPMENTS SINCE THE LAST REPORT

# A. Initial Distribution Payment to Claimants

The Receiver is pleased to report that \$416,032.92 was paid in distributions in October.

By Order entered October 14, 2010, the Court approved the payment of initial

distributions to investors. On October 19, 2010, the Receiver mailed cashier's checks totaling

\$400,000.00 to ten investors. In addition, \$16,032.92 was paid to Plaintiff, A. David Barnes, as partial reimbursement of the legal fees he expended in getting a Receiver appointed.

## B. Settlements

Since the date of the last report, the Receiver has entered into three new settlements:

- 1. On September 15, Janalynn Memmott paid \$3,000.00 to the Receiver pursuant to a settlement agreement. The Receiver alleged that Memmott withdrew \$7,800.00 more from the Receivership Entities than the amount she invested and the Receiver threatened to file suit. Memmott demonstrated to the Receiver an inability to pay the \$7,800.00 that
  - might have asserted against Memmott.

    2. The Kaighn Company paid \$7,981.05 to the Receiver on November 18, pursuant to a settlement agreement. This payment and the settlement agreement resolved claims that

payments to Kaighn Company for its work as a collection agency for Allied Telesis

the Receiver asserted was overpaid. This settlement resolved all claims the Receiver

3. On November 23, Alex Murillo paid \$58,512.90 to the Receiver in settlement of the

(which settled previously) were fraudulent conveyances.

Murillo engaged in the securities business without being licensed. This payment represents the full amount that had been paid to Murillo by the Receivership Entities.

lawsuit filed by the Receiver against Murillo. This payment was made to the Receiver

after Murillo consented to an order entered by the Utah Division of Securities finding that

C. Developments in Ongoing Litigation

## C. Developments in Ongoing Litigation

1. The Receiver has obtained default judgments in two lawsuits it filed in March 2010:

The Receiver has dismissed his lawsuit against Murillo.

- a. On October 7, 2010, Third District Judge Kennedy entered a default judgment in the amount of \$126,869.72 against Kays Creek Capital. The Receiver had sought return
  - much of this will be collected. The Receiver and his counsel have researched possible assets that might be sources of recovery.

b. On October 20, 2010, Third District Judge Fratto entered a default judgment in the

of these funds that the Receivership Entities paid to Kays Creek. It is unknown how

- amount of \$17,000.00 against Massachusetts attorney Karl Stammen. The Receiver's lawsuit had alleged Stammen was paid for legal services he provided for Superwire
- lawsuit had alleged Stammen was paid for legal services he provided for Superwire and other companies, when the services did not provide any benefit to Ascendus or FFCF. The Receiver and his counsel have identified properties in Massachusetts
  - which we believe can be used to satisfy this judgment, but it will require filing a separate action in Massachusetts state court to confirm the judgment. This is expected to require spending monies to hire Massachusetts counsel.

- 2. On November 11, the Receiver filed a motion for summary judgment against TDI Energy, seeking judgment for the \$190,000.00 paid to it by Receivership Entities. We are awaiting a response by TDI.
- 3. The Receiver filed a garnishment claim against the bank account of Steven James, pursuant to a default judgment previously obtained against him. The Receiver and his counsel continue to look for other assets belonging to James that can be used to satisfy

the default judgment.

claim with the NCUA.

- 4. At the request of the National Credit Union Administration, the Receiver granted additional time for the NCUA to evaluate the claim the Receiver filed against Heritage West Credit Union (formerly Tooele Federal Credit Union) to recover payments made for the benefit of Jennifer Taylor.
- 5. Family First Credit Union also was taken over by the NCUA. The Receiver is continuing its litigation against the credit union, but may have to dismiss the lawsuit and submit a
- 6. The U.S. Bankruptcy Court granted a bankruptcy discharge against Matthew White, who was sued by the Receiver on March 18. The Receiver continues to seek recovery from other parties sued in the same action as White.
- 7. The Receiver and his counsel have engaged in significant discovery efforts in connection with the cases filed by the Receiver. This has included sending discovery requests, responding to discovery requests, and sending and receiving initial disclosures. The

- Receiver also has reviewed information produced in connection with federal litigation, including expert reports prepared on behalf of one of the investors in the federal action.
- 8. Based on the information obtained from defendant Robert Alsop, the Receiver plans to take his deposition regarding his roles with the Superwire and Extream TV companies, the services he provided to the Receivership Entities, and his status as an insider.
- 9. Substantive settlement discussions have been held with the defendants in several other lawsuits filed by the Receiver. The discussions are too preliminary to be able to predict whether settlement agreements will be reached with these defendants.
- 10. The Receiver is working to have all outstanding lawsuits filed by the Receiver transferred to Judge Quinn. On November 16, this Court issued a ruling giving instructions on how the Receiver should notify the court clerk's office of cases that should be assigned. On November 30, the Receiver lodged with the Court a list of eight outstanding cases that should be assigned to Judge Quinn and three cases in which default judgments have been obtained.
- 11. Litigation filed by the Receiver is continuing against Roger Taylor, Family First Federal Credit Union, Logistic Management, Robert Alsop, Sancuro Wound Care Systems, Richard Smith, Susan Smith, TDI Energy, Tripod Holdings, Kathryn Rowley, and Courtney Smith. Several of these lawsuits have multiple defendants.
- **D.** Analysis Performed Analysis performed since September 14, 2010 has included:
  - 1. Obtaining records of additional cashier's checks and wire transfers made from the bank

- 3. Reviewing documents produced by Robert Alsop, who was the attorney for Ascendus.

  Many of those documents related to work performed by Alsop for Superwire, Extream
  - FFCF. These documents were compared to financial records of the Receivership Entities to determine the extent to which Alsop's legal services benefitted the Receivership Entities. The Receiver also gathered information showing that Alsop was an officer of

by Receivership Entities for the benefit of these companies.

issuers and may file suit seeking return of these payments.

payments whose purpose was previously unknown.

not received in good faith.

4. Obtaining a better understanding of the relationship of Superwire and Extream TV to Ascendus, including the roles played by Taylor, Smith, and Alsop in the promotion of these companies. The Receiver also learned the purpose of many of the payments made

some of these entities, enabling the Receiver to argue that payments made to Alsop were

accounts of Receivership Entities. With these records and other information learned by

the Receiver, the Receiver was able to identify the beneficiaries of several significant

Understanding payments made by Receivership Entities for credit cards in the names of

other persons. The Receiver has requested information from some of these credit card

TV, and other entities unrelated to the investment programs created by Ascendus and

- previously not responded to requests from the Receiver.
  - 6

Making contact with and obtaining information from several investors who had

inaccurate information to investors.
7. Researching the securities licensing records of Touchtrade and the brokers who worked for Touchtrade, Great Eastern Securities, and Kays Creek Capital. The Receiver obtained copies of disciplinary orders previously entered against Touchtrade and Chad Miller,

6. Learning how the Trade Center Program was used by Ascendus and Touchtrade to

provide trading information to investors and how that platform was used to disseminate

8. Creating an index of topics covered in documents delivered to the Receiver. 1

including the termination of Touchtrade's brokerage license.

# E. Documents Obtained from James Warner

California attorney James Warner represented Ascendus, FFCF, and Roger Taylor at an earlier stage of this litigation, opposing appointment of a receiver. When the Receiver made a demand for return of the \$80,000.00 in fees paid to him, Warner asserted that he was counsel

only for FFCF and did not represent Taylor personally. The Receiver reviewed billing records

from Warner to Taylor, examining the types of work performed by Warner.

In October, the Receiver requested that Warner deliver to him copies of all documents in his files that were created by the law firm or received in connection with its representation of

FFCF, including all billing records, e-mails, and retainer agreements. This request was made under professional conduct rules governing California lawyers. Because Warner indicated he

represented only FFCF, the Receiver asserted that he was entitled to obtain a copy of the legal

This is an ongoing project. The Receiver is not billing for the time being spent reviewing these documents and creating an index.

Richard Smith following his suicide. While Taylor says he consulted with Susan Smith, the letter was written by Taylor.

between July 2008 and March 2009. Examples of information learned from these e-mails are:

files maintained by Warner. On November 18 and 20, Warner delivered to the Receiver 880

pages of documents that he said represents all of its records relating to its representation of

FFCF. The bulk of these documents consist of e-mails between Roger Taylor and Warner.

These e-mails provide significant insight into the discussions between Taylor and Warner

Roger Taylor was the author of the letter that was made to appear as if it was written by

James Warner suggested changes to the post-suicide-attempt letter, to disguise Taylor's

would retain control, and the receiver would insulate Taylor from liability. He suggested

In September 2008, Taylor expressed to Warner the view that he would not oppose

appointment of a Receiver so long as the receiver was a person Taylor could choose, he

• Taylor maintained a personal journal in which he recorded information about his activities on behalf of Ascendus and FFCF. In one e-mail to Warner, Taylor expressed concern that the Receiver might seek copies of those journals.

a means of misleading investors as to how his choice of receiver would be selected.

The Receiver had made a request to Taylor's attorney for copies of those journals.

## F. Penson Financial Services

role as author of the letter.

Penson Financial Services is the brokerage firm that held the funds and securities of

customers that were traded by Taylor and Ascendus. Most of the investors opened accounts at Penson and authorized Taylor to conduct trades in their individual brokerage accounts. The

Receiver has conducted a careful examination of transactions: i) between Receivership Entities and Penson, and ii) between customers and Penson. That examination revealed a number of deficiencies in how Penson handled the investor accounts and how it transferred funds,

 Penson withdrew funds from customer accounts or made transfers from customer accounts based on what appear to be forged customer authorizations;

including:

without written authorizations;

Penson transferred funds and securities between the accounts of different investors

Penson transferred funds based on customer requests that appear to have been altered;

- Penson withdrew money from customer accounts and paid the money to companies
- controlled by Taylor and Smith, without the knowledge or permission of the customers;
  Ascendus gave money to Penson to put into customer accounts, which were designed to
- Penson sent account statements and other documents to customers which contained materially false information about the value of and transactions in the accounts; and

hide or had the effect of hiding trading losses in the accounts;

 Penson paid commissions to Ascendus when these types of commissions were not permissible and when Penson knew the accounts were losing money.

On October 8, the Receiver issued a subpoena to Penson, requesting documents relating

Subpoena.

On October 22, the Court granted the Receiver's motion and issued an order authorizing

to the problems identified by the Receiver. After Penson refused to honor the subpoena, the

Receiver met with an attorney for Penson and showed him the documents compiled by the

Receiver. After this meeting, Penson still refused to provide the documents listed in the

the Receiver to investigate the actions of Penson and file suit if he found grounds to seek

\$7 million to Ascendus and other companies controlled by Taylor and Smith. Sixteen of these investors agreed to assign their claims against Penson to the Receiver. On December 10, the

The Receiver has identified numerous transactions by Penson relating to the accounts of

The Receiver also provided his findings and copies of the key Penson documents to the Utah Division of Securities.

Receiver filed a lawsuit against Penson, seeking recovery for funds and securities handled and

## **G.** Informing Investors

transferred improperly.

recovery against Penson.

- 1. The Receiver has continued to post information and court filings on its website to keep investors informed of progress in this case.
  - 2. At their request, information has been provided to a number of investors and attorneys.

This has included sending a letter that an investor could use to justify the claim of loss for

- The Receiver has continued to provide information to governmental authorities during
- the period. F. Financial Developments 1. Since September 14, the Receiver has recovered \$69,493.95 as a result of the settlements

tax purposes.

described above.

The Receiver has expended \$62,687.81 of Receivership funds since the date of the last

report – in addition to the \$416,032.92 in distributions. These expenses are:

| Date     | Amount      | Recipient               | Purpose                              |
|----------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 9/15/10  | \$64.25     | American West Bank      | Copies of cashier's checks, research |
| 10/11/10 | \$13,059.24 | Manning Curtis law firm | Partial legal fees, September 2010   |
| 11/18/10 | \$9,278.75  | Manning Curtis law firm | Balance of legal fees, Sept. 2010    |
| 11/24/10 | \$19,096.74 | Manning Curtis law firm | Legal fees, October 2010             |
| 12/9/10  | \$21,188.83 | Manning Curtis law firm | Legal fees, November 2010            |
| Total    | \$62,687.81 |                         |                                      |

- 3. On November 16, 2010, the Court approved the Receiver's third fee and expense application, totaling \$98,714.92.2 This approval was for services rendered by the
- Receiver from April 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010. The Receiver has not paid himself any of this amount, as the receivership has insufficient funds to make this payment.
- 4. After accounting for the deposits and expenditures described above, the current balance in the Receivership bank account is \$41,510.58.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In his third fee application, the Receiver identified an additional \$118,442.75 in services that were being donated to the Receivership effort, because there are not sufficient funds being recovered to pay for all of the time being spent by the Receiver and his staff in recovering assets. <sup>3</sup> An additional \$500.00 is in a savings account at Wells Fargo Bank.

#### G. Areas of Focus for Ongoing Research and Analysis.

- 1. The next phase of the Receiver's investigation will focus on:
  - Continuing a review of documents obtained from James Warner and seeking the journals of Roger Taylor relating to the activities of Ascendus and FFCF; and
  - b. Taking the deposition of Robert Alsop.
- 2. The Receiver expects to determine soon whether grounds exist to file suit against credit card issuers, to recover payments from Receivership Entities.
- 3. The Receiver expects to initiate litigation against Penson Financial Services for improper transactions with customers and receivership entities.

#### II. TOPICS FOR DECEMBER 16, 2010 STATUS HEARING

The Receiver requests that the Court set another status hearing in April 2011 to provide a further progress report and seek any direction from the Court.

#### III. CONCLUSION

The Receiver respectfully submits this Tenth Report for the period from September 14, 2010 through December 9, 2010.

The Receiver verifies under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2010.

WAYNE KLEIN, Receiver

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2010, a true copy of the foregoing

Tenth Report of Receiver was mailed to the following:

Jerome H. Mooney Weston, Garrou, Walters & Mooney 50 West Broadway, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 Counsel for Roger E. Taylor

Dr. A. David Barnes 4822 Holladay Blvd., #170 Holladay, UT 84117

SuetWan Chan Bostrom Young 302 West 1310 North Orem, UT 84057 Richard T. Smith 443 North 750 East Orem, UT 84097

Craig R. Madsen 1112 North 700 East Springville, UT 84663 Counsel for Kathryn Rowley

Anthony W. Schofield Kirton & McConkie 518 West 800 North, Suite 204 Orem, UT 84057 Counsel for T. Courtney Smith

Mayne Elein